

Peer-Review Statements

Fuad Arif Rahman^{1,*} Vina Kholisa Dinuka², Jessica Olifia³, Agus Supriyadi⁴, Rizki Lanniari HS⁵, Zaimah⁶, Dewi Junita⁷, Raja Yulianita Sarazwati⁸, Izzatul Jannah⁹

¹Politeknik Negeri Batam1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9

*Editor-in-Chief of the 6th International Conference on Applied Economics and Social Science (ICAESS). Email: icaess@polibatam.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 6th International Conference on Applied Economics and Social Science (ICAESS). During 1-2th October 2024 in Batam, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the ICAESS reviewer and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewers independently. The conference submission management system was EDAS Conference and Journal Management System.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final. In addition, all accepted manuscripts have undergone a review process in accordance with predetermined standards. Accepted articles are only those that have passed the final presentation phase at this conference.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;

© The Author(s) 2025

- 2 F. Arif Rahman et al.
 - 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
 - 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions86Number of articles sent for peer review86Number of accepted articles40Acceptance rate45,98%Number of reviewers35

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

All Chief Editors and members of the Editorial Board declare that we have no competing interests that could influence the editorial decisions in this matter. This includes both actual and perceived competing interests, ensuring the validity and integrity of the editorial process. **Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

$\overline{()}$	•	\$
\sim	BY	NC