



Conceptualizing Governance Issues in Higher Education: Analyzing Administrative Interventions in South African Universities

Themba M Mthethwa
Mangosuthu University of Technology
Mathematics and Science Education Unit (MSEU)
Durban, South Africa

Mthethwa.Themba@mut.ac.za

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7523-2584>

Abstract

This conceptual paper examines governance challenges in South African higher education, with a specific focus on universities placed under administration. Drawing from five South African universities as case studies, the paper investigates issues arising from financial mismanagement, leadership crises, lack of accountability, and operational disruptions, all exacerbated by socio-political and economic factors. Using a qualitative research methodology, the study synthesizes data from existing literature and government reports to identify the root causes of governance failures and to assess the effectiveness of administrative interventions, in which external administrators are appointed to restore stability.

The paper highlights critical gaps in the current research landscape, such as a lack of comprehensive studies on the long-term impact of these interventions and limited comparative analysis between South African and international universities facing similar governance issues. It proposes a theoretical framework for analyzing governance failures and administrative responses, integrating key variables such as financial oversight, leadership stability, accountability, and stakeholder engagement.

The findings provide actionable insights for policymakers, university leaders, and academic staff, emphasizing the need for sustainable governance reforms. By addressing these challenges, the paper aims to contribute to the development of resilient and effective governance structures in South African higher education. Ultimately, it advocates for informed, data-driven administrative interventions and ongoing research to strengthen governance models, enhancing the ability of South African universities to fulfill their educational and societal mandates effectively.

Key words: governance, under administration, administrative interventions, South African universities

1. Introduction

The Higher Education Act [1] in South Africa regulates the establishment, governance, and funding of public higher education institutions. This legislative framework also provides for quality assurance, establishes the Council on Higher Education, and governs the registration of private higher education institutions. Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in South Africa are crucial to the nation's development, providing advanced education, fostering innovation, and significantly contributing to socio-economic advancement [2]. These institutions cultivate a skilled workforce capable of addressing both national and global challenges. However, many universities in South Africa face significant governance issues, leading to inefficiencies, financial mismanagement, and a lack of accountability. Consequently, these challenges often result in the appointment of assessors and external administrators to manage and rectify problems, as outlined in sections 44 and 45 of the Act [1].

2. Research Questions and Methodology

This study addresses two primary research questions: *What are the prevalent governance challenges faced by South African universities?* This inquiry examines issues such as financial mismanagement, leadership crises, lack of accountability, and operational disruptions [3, 4]. The second question is: *Under what conditions are universities subjected to administrative intervention, and what are the resultant effects?* This question explores the circumstances and impacts of placing universities under administration, aiming to provide a comprehensive under-

standing of governance failures and the effectiveness of administrative responses within the South African higher education context [5, 6].

To address these questions, the study employs a comprehensive review of literature and government reports. The methodology involves a systematic review of academic journals on university governance, specifically focusing on South African higher education institutions. This review incorporates works by prominent scholars and institutions to understand the theoretical and practical aspects of governance challenges and interventions [7]. Additionally, the analysis includes government documents—such as reports from the Department of Higher Education and Training, government gazettes, and other relevant materials—that provide empirical data on governance issues, financial audits, and the outcomes of administrative interventions [5]. To contextualize the role and impact of administrative interventions, a multi-case study approach is adopted [8].

3. Understanding Governance in Higher Education

The governance of higher education institutions can be understood through three interrelated factors: structural, contextual, and processual. Structural factors include effective leadership, a well-defined organizational structure, and transparent decision-making processes. Contextual factors encompass the external environment, including political, economic, and social influences [2]. Processual factors involve operational practices and engagement strategies, such as robust financial management, stakeholder engagement, and transparency mechanisms [3].

4. Governance Failures in South African Universities

South African universities face multifaceted governance challenges that significantly impact their operational effectiveness and long-term sustainability. Financial mismanagement manifests through the misallocation of funds, corruption, and inadequate financial controls [2]. Leadership crises often involve conflicts within university leadership, a lack of clear vision, and frequent changes in management, which lead to organizational instability [3]. Furthermore, accountability and transparency issues arise from inadequate reporting mechanisms and a lack of stakeholder involvement, contributing to opaque decision-making processes that erode trust and effectiveness [4]. Operational disruptions, including strikes and protests, highlight deeper governance and communication issues within universities, often stemming from unresolved grievances related to financial mismanagement, leadership crises, and a lack of accountability [5].

5. Role and Impact of Administrative Interventions

Administrative interventions in higher education institutions play a crucial role in addressing immediate crises and restoring effective governance. These interventions typically involve the appointment of external administrators tasked with rectifying governance failures and stabilizing institutional operations. The impact of such interventions can be comprehensively understood through the examination of specific case studies, such as those of Mangosuthu University of Technology (MUT), Vaal University of Technology (VUT), University of Zululand (UNIZULU), Walter Sisulu University (WSU), and Tshwane University of Technology (TUT).

A case of Mangosuthu University of Technology (MUT)

In 2009, Mangosuthu University of Technology (MUT) faced severe governance and management issues, with extensive corruption discovered within the Council and institution. The newly appointed Vice Chancellor reported these issues to the Minister, leading to the appointment of an Independent Assessor [9]. The assessor's report highlighted significant weaknesses in governance and management, along with substantial financial and procurement irregularities [10]. As a result, the university undertook substantial reforms, including restructuring the Office of the Registrar to streamline administrative functions and enhance efficiency, developing policies and procedures for staff recruitment to attract qualified personnel, revising the code of ethics to require employees to declare their interests, conducting a forensic investigation that led to the dismissal of several staff members and students involved in corrupt activities, and implementing an Institutional Improvement Plan with a new recruitment strategy for senior management to ensure competent leadership[11].

A case of Vaal University of Technology (VUT)

Vaal University of Technology (VUT) experienced ongoing strikes and discontent, prompting the Minister to appoint an Independent Assessor. The investigation revealed critical issues in governance and management, leading to the appointment of an administrator in 2011 [12]. Significant improvements were made, including the appointment of a new Vice Chancellor to provide stable leadership, implementing reviewed procurement and financial policies to ensure financial integrity

and effective resource management, establishing a new Council to facilitate better governance and strategic direction, and achieving institutional stability and progress, thereby restoring a conducive environment for teaching and learning [13, 14].

A case of University of Zululand (UNIZULU)

The University of Zululand (UNIZULU) faced a financial crisis, being unable to pay salaries, which led the Council to request ministerial assistance [15]. An Independent Assessor, appointed in 2011, found a complete collapse in systems, governance, and financial management, resulting in the appointment of an Administrator [16]. The intervention yielded positive results, including achieving financial stability with no bank overdrafts, conducting disciplinary actions and dismissals to address misconduct and reinforce accountability, submitting a turnaround strategy to the department as a comprehensive roadmap for sustainable recovery, developing a suitable governance model embedded in a new statute to ensure effective leadership structures, conducting the first legitimate SRC elections to promote student representation and participation, and securing a significant funding injection to support the implementation of the turnaround framework [17].

A case of Walter Sisulu University (WSU)

Walter Sisulu University (WSU) faced persistent issues related to internal factions, administration, governance, and management. An Independent Assessor, appointed in 2012, reported that the Council lacked strategic leadership, and that the university's statute needed replacement [18]. Key achievements included ensuring fiscal responsibility and

transparency through clean audits, reinforcing ethical standards by addressing the misconduct of the Vice Chancellor with a final warning, establishing a robust governance framework by promulgating a new statute, and securing competent leadership for the future by initiating the process of appointing a new Vice Chancellor [19. 20].

A case of Tshwane University of Technology (TUT)

Following allegations of corruption and abuse, the Council of Tshwane University of Technology (TUT) was requested to investigate and report back to the Minister but failed to do so [21]. Consequently, an Independent Assessor recommended appointing an Administrator. However, the Council rejected this, leading to the appointment of an Administrator in 2012. A legal battle ensued between Tshwane University of Technology (TUT) and the Minister, with the court ruling that the Minister's appointment of the Administrator was unlawful. As a result, outstanding matters remain unresolved, pending further action from the Minister based on the independent investigation requested from the university [22]

Overall, these administrative interventions played a critical role in addressing immediate governance challenges and laying the foundation for long-term resilience and sustainability. They demonstrate that comprehensive reforms, when effectively implemented, can restore effective governance structures and contribute to the overall health of higher education institutions. However, further research is necessary to assess their long-term impacts and develop best practices for managing governance crises in higher education. Analyzing these cases reveals that successful

administrative interventions require a multifaceted approach, encompassing structural reforms, financial accountability improvements, and leadership stabilization. The lessons learned from these universities can inform strategies for managing governance challenges in other higher education institutions, not only in South Africa but also globally. By learning from these experiences, universities can better navigate crises, strengthen their governance frameworks, and sustain their missions effectively.

6. Stakeholder Roles in Governance

The involvement of various stakeholders—including government entities, university leadership, academic staff, and students—is crucial for fostering sustainable governance in higher education institutions. Collaborative governance models that incorporate diverse perspectives are essential for creating resilient and effective institutions capable of adapting to changing societal needs and challenges. Such models promote inclusivity and shared responsibility, which are vital for addressing the complexities inherent in higher education governance. By engaging multiple stakeholders, universities can draw upon a wealth of knowledge and experience that enhances decision-making and strategic planning processes. This collective approach not only strengthens institutional governance but also cultivates a sense of community and shared purpose among all stakeholders involved.

Government bodies play a critical role in this governance landscape by providing essential regulatory frameworks, funding, and policy guidelines that ensure universities operate within a well-defined legal and

financial context. Effective government involvement is foundational for maintaining accountability and transparency within these institutions. It encompasses a range of activities, from establishing educational standards to providing financial resources that support institutional growth and innovation. Furthermore, government oversight extends to monitoring institutional performance, which includes assessing adherence to regulations, evaluating educational outcomes, and implementing strategic interventions when governance failures occur. Research indicates that active governmental oversight can significantly enhance governance standards in universities, ensuring they meet national educational objectives and maintain public trust [23, 24]. Such oversight not only reinforces accountability but also encourages institutions to align their goals with broader national priorities.

University leadership, encompassing vice-chancellors, deans, and senior administrative officials, holds significant responsibility for setting strategic directions and making key decisions that shape the institution's future trajectory. Effective leadership is characterized by a clear vision, transparent decision-making processes, and a steadfast commitment to ethical governance. Leaders must adeptly navigate complex challenges, including financial constraints, political pressures, and rapidly evolving educational demands, while ensuring that the institution's core educational mission remains uncompromised. Studies highlight that strong and visionary leadership is pivotal in driving governance reforms and fostering a culture of accountability and excellence [25, 26]. Furthermore, effective leadership can serve as a catalyst for institutional

change, encouraging innovation and the pursuit of excellence across various academic and administrative domains.

Academic staff, including faculty members and researchers, play an indispensable role in the academic and administrative functions of universities. Their involvement in governance is crucial as they bring expert knowledge, pedagogical insights, and a nuanced understanding of student needs to the decision-making process. Participation in governance structures, such as senates and committees, ensures that academic standards and institutional policies are informed by those who are directly engaged in teaching and research. This engagement fosters a culture of collaboration and shared governance, which can enhance academic integrity and institutional effectiveness. The literature indicates that institutions with robust faculty governance mechanisms tend to experience higher levels of academic integrity and institutional effectiveness [27, 28]. By empowering academic staff, universities can cultivate a more vibrant academic community that is committed to continuous improvement and excellence.

Students are key stakeholders whose voices are essential in the governance process. Their participation in governance bodies, such as student councils and boards, provides critical insights into the student experience and helps ensure that institutional policies align with student needs and aspirations. Engaging students in governance fosters a sense of ownership and accountability, encouraging a more inclusive and responsive institutional environment. When students are involved in decision-making processes, they contribute valuable perspectives that can lead to more effective policies and practices. Studies suggest that student involvement in governance leads to more democratic institutions and better educational outcomes [29, 30]. Furthermore, such

7. Collaborative Governance Models

Collaborative governance models that integrate the perspectives of all stakeholders—government, university leadership, academic staff, and students—tend to be more effective and resilient in addressing the complexities of higher education governance. These models promote shared responsibility, transparency, and mutual accountability, which are essential for fostering an inclusive and participatory decision-making environment [31, 32]. By engaging diverse voices and expertise, collaborative governance enhances decision-making processes and cultivates a culture of trust and cooperation among stakeholders. This leads to more sustainable and adaptive institutions capable of responding to the dynamic challenges posed by the educational landscape [33, 34]. The effectiveness of collaborative governance has been documented in various higher education contexts, highlighting its role in improving governance practices and institutional performance [35].

Central to collaborative governance is the recognition that each stakeholder group possesses unique insights and contributions that can enhance the overall governance framework of the institution. For instance, government entities can provide regulatory guidance and financial sup-

port, university leadership can set strategic directions and institutional priorities, academic staff can contribute pedagogical expertise and research knowledge, and students can offer critical perspectives on their educational experiences. This integrative approach fosters a sense of ownership among all parties involved, encouraging stakeholders to actively participate in the governance process and ensuring that decisions reflect the diverse needs and aspirations of the entire University community [36].

Moreover, collaborative governance models can significantly enhance institutional resilience by facilitating open communication and fostering partnerships among stakeholders. For example, regular forums or committees that bring together representatives from each stakeholder group can serve as platforms for dialogue and feedback, allowing for continuous assessment and improvement of governance practices [37]. These collaborative structures can also support the development of shared goals and objectives, aligning the interests of various stakeholders toward a common vision for the institution. Research suggests that institutions employing collaborative governance frameworks often experience improved stakeholder satisfaction, enhanced institutional effectiveness, and better alignment with community and societal needs [38].

The inclusivity inherent in collaborative governance is vital for the long-term success and sustainability of universities. By fostering an environment where all voices are heard and valued, higher education institutions can develop governance frameworks that are both robust and responsive to the evolving challenges of the educational landscape. This approach enables universities to fulfil their educational missions effectively while contributing meaningfully to societal development and addressing pressing global issues such as equity, access, and social justice in education [39].

Collaborative governance models are essential for navigating the complexities of higher education governance. By integrating diverse

perspectives and promoting shared responsibility, these models enhance institutional resilience, improve governance practices, and ultimately lead to better educational outcomes for students and society.

8. Future Research Directions

Identifying areas for further research based on the conceptual model is essential for advancing the understanding of governance in higher education institutions. One promising avenue for exploration is the long-term impacts of interventions implemented in response to governance challenges. While many studies have focused on immediate outcomes of administrative interventions, there is a need for longitudinal research that examines the sustained effects of these interventions on institutional performance, stakeholder engagement, and overall governance quality [27]. Such research could provide valuable insights into how different governance strategies contribute to the resilience and adaptability of higher education institutions over time.

Additionally, comparative studies with other countries can yield important lessons regarding effective governance practices. By examining governance frameworks and outcomes in diverse international contexts, researchers can identify best practices and innovative approaches that

may be applicable in South Africa and other similar educational settings. Cross-national analyses can reveal how variations in cultural, political, and economic contexts influence governance dynamics, enabling a more nuanced understanding of the factors that contribute to effective governance in higher education. Comparative studies can also help to contextualize governance challenges faced by South African universities within the broader global landscape, facilitating the exchange of ideas and strategies among institutions.

Furthermore, future studies could explore the efficacy of different governance frameworks, particularly in terms of stakeholder engagement and collaborative governance models. Research could focus on the effectiveness of participatory governance structures that include diverse stakeholder voices, such as faculty, students, and community members, in decision-making processes [29]. Investigating the relationship between governance structures and institutional outcomes, such as student satisfaction, retention rates, and academic performance, would provide empirical evidence regarding the impact of governance practices on educational effectiveness.

In addition to examining governance frameworks, it is critical to investigate the role of cultural and contextual factors in shaping governance outcomes. Understanding how institutional culture, national policy environments, and socio-economic conditions influence governance practices can enhance the development of contextually relevant strategies for improving governance in higher education [26]. Research that focuses on the interplay between governance structures and local contexts can

inform the design of interventions that are more likely to succeed in specific institutional environments.

Finally, exploring the implications of emerging trends, such as digital transformation and the increasing emphasis on accountability and transparency in higher education governance, presents another vital area for future research. As institutions adopt new technologies and data-driven decision-making processes, it is essential to understand how these changes impact governance practices and stakeholder relationships [40, 41]. Investigating the opportunities and challenges presented by digital governance can help institutions navigate the complexities of modern higher education while promoting accountability and enhancing institutional effectiveness.

The outlined research directions offer a comprehensive framework for investigating the multifaceted nature of governance in higher education. By exploring the long-term impacts of interventions, conducting comparative studies, examining various governance frameworks, and considering cultural and contextual factors, future research can contribute to the development of more effective governance practices that enhance the quality and sustainability of higher education institutions.

9. Conclusion

Effective governance in higher education transcends regulatory compliance; it is fundamental to the sustainability and success of universities. This paper has provided a comprehensive conceptual exploration of governance issues and administrative interventions within South African universities, offering a robust framework for understanding governance failures and their remediation. Through a critical analysis of the complexities surrounding governance challenges—including financial mismanagement, leadership crises, accountability deficits, and operational disruptions—the paper emphasizes the urgent need for systematic reforms.

Addressing these challenges necessitates concerted efforts to enhance administrative practices, strengthen accountability mechanisms, and promote transparent decision-making processes. Such reforms are not only essential for restoring public trust but also for enabling South African universities to effectively fulfil their dual mandates of advancing knowledge and contributing to societal development. The insights gleaned from this analysis lay a solid foundation for guiding future research endeavors and informing policy development aimed at reinforcing governance frameworks in higher education.

Looking ahead, it is imperative for stakeholders—ranging from policy-makers and university leaders to academic staff and students—to collaborate in the implementation of sustainable governance practices. This collaborative approach, informed by empirical research and comparative studies, can facilitate the adoption of best practices tailored to the unique socio-political and economic contexts of South African universities. Ultimately, by embracing governance reforms and fostering a culture of accountability, South African universities can enhance their capacity to navigate challenges, capitalize on opportunities for innovation,

and contribute meaningfully to national and global knowledge economies.

10. References

[1] The Higher Education Act 101 of 1997

[2] Pityana, N. (2019). Political and Economic Influences on University Governance. *Higher Education Review*, 51(1), 29-45.

[3] Jansen, J. (2019). *The governance of South African higher education: Past, present, and future*. In M. Molefe (Ed.), *Higher education governance in South Africa* (pp. 25-45).

[4] Higher Education Governance Task Team. (2020). *Report on higher education governance in South Africa*. Department of Higher Education and Training.

[5] Bhengu, K. (2020). *The implications of administrative interventions in South African universities*. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 42(4), 360-376.

[6] Badat, S. (2020). *The challenges of governance in higher education in South Africa: A policy perspective*. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 34(3), 1-15.

[7] Perkins, R., & Neuman, J. (2020). *Governance in higher education: A global perspective*. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 74(3), 395-412.

[8] Scott, P. (2015). *Higher education in South Africa: Challenges and prospects*. In C. N. M. Alvior & R. M. Guinto (Eds.), *Current issues in higher education in South Africa: Trends and policies* (pp. 19-35). Springer.

[9] Department of Higher Education and Training. (2009). "Report of the Independent Assessor into the Affairs of Mangosuthu University of Technology." *Government Gazette* No. 32830.

- [10] Government of South Africa. (2009). "Appointment of an Administrator for Mangosuthu University of Technology." Government Gazette No. 32900
- [11] Habib, A. (2013). *South Africa's Suspended Revolution: Hopes and Prospects*. Wits University Press.
- [12] Department of Higher Education and Training. (2011). "Report of the Independent Assessor into the Affairs of Vaal University of Technology." Government Gazette No. 34750.
- [13] Government of South Africa. (2011). "Appointment of an Administrator for Vaal University of Technology." Government Gazette No. 34778
- [14] Chetty, R. (2020). *Governance challenges and interventions in South African higher education: An analysis of case studies*
- [15] Department of Higher Education and Training. (2011). "Report of the Independent Assessor into the Affairs of the University of Zululand." Government Gazette No. 34506.
- [16] Government of South Africa. (2011). "Appointment of an Administrator for the University of Zululand." Government Gazette No. 34687.
- [17] Maharaj, R. (2018). *Navigating financial crises and governance challenges in South African higher education*
- [18] Department of Higher Education and Training. (2012). "Report of the Independent Assessor into the Affairs of Walter Sisulu University." Government Gazette No. 35007.
- [19] Government of South Africa. (2012). "Appointment of an Administrator for Walter Sisulu University." Government Gazette No. 35123.
- [20] Mngomezulu, S. (2019). *Internal factions and governance challenges in South African higher education:*

[21] Department of Higher Education and Training. (2012). "Report of the Independent Assessor into the Affairs of the Tshwane University of Technology." Government Gazette No. 35408.

[22] Government of South Africa. (2012). "Appointment of an Administrator for Tshwane University of Technology." Government Gazette No. 35412.

[23] Jones, G. A. (2013). *The governance of higher education: Global perspectives, theories, and practices*. Routledge.

[24] Salmi, J. (2009). *The challenge of establishing world-class universities*. World Bank Publications

[25] Berdahl, R. O. (1999). *Universities and the state*. In J. Brennan, J. Fedrowitz, M. Huber, & T. Shah (Eds.), *What kind of university? International perspectives on knowledge, participation and governance* (pp. 43-56). Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

[26] Bryman, A. (2007). *Effective leadership in higher education: A literature review*. *Studies in Higher Education*, 32(6), 693-710.

[27] Kezar, A., & Eckel, P. D. (2004). *Meeting today's governance challenges: A synthesis of the literature on higher education governance*. *Journal of Higher Education*, 75(4), 371-400.

[28] Birnbaum, R. (2004). *The end of shared governance: Looking ahead or looking back*. *New Directions for Higher Education*, (127), 5-22.

[29] Luescher-Mamashela, T. M. (2010). *From Walter Sisulu University (WSU) democratization to managerialism: The changing legitimation of university governance and the place of students*. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 16(4), 259-283.

[30] Menon, M. E. (2005). *Student involvement in university governance: A need for negotiated educational aims?* *Tertiary Education and*

[31] Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice.

Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 18(4), 543-571.

[32] Kezar, A., & Holcombe, E. (2017). Shared leadership in higher education: Important lessons from research and practice. *American Council on Education*.

[33] Kezar, A. (2005). Redesigning for collaboration within higher education institutions: An exploration into the developmental process. *Research in Higher Education*, 46(7), 831-860.

[34] Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Stone, M. M. (2006). The design and implementation of cross-sector collaborations: Propositions from the literature. *Public Administration Review*, 66(s1), 44-55.

[35] Deem, R., Hillyard, S., & Reed, M. (2007). *Knowledge, higher education, and the new managerialism: The changing management of UK universities*. Oxford University Press.

[36] Shapiro, H. (2020). *Building trust in collaborative governance: Strategies for success in higher education*. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 34(4), 803-820.

- [37] Nair, C. S., & Wisker, G. (2020). *Innovative collaborative governance in higher education: Lessons from the global south*. Higher Education, 80(4), 685-701.
- [38] Gunter, H. M. (2016). *Collaborative leadership in higher education: Theory, practice, and policy*. Studies in Higher Education, 41(7), 1157-1175.
- [39] Smith, D. A., & Hurst, M. (2020). *The impact of collaborative governance on institutional effectiveness in higher education*. Journal of Educational Administration, 58(2), 175-190.
- [40] Bennett, S. (2017). *Digital transformation in higher education: The role of governance and policy*. Routledge.
- [41] McCarthy, G. (2019). *Accountability and transparency in higher education governance: A case study approach*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

