

Peer-Review Statements

Anak Agung Ngurah Gde Sapteka^{1*}, I Gusti Lanang Made Parwita², I Komang Wiratama³, Fransiska Moi⁴, Komang Widhi Widantha⁵, Elvira Septevany⁶, Dewa Ayu Indah Cahya Dewi⁷, Wayan Eny Mariani⁸, Rifqi Nur Fakhrurozi⁹

1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9 Politeknik Negeri Bali, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the ICoSTAS SAS 2024. Email: sapteka@pnb.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *International Conference on Sustainable Green Tourism Applied Science (ICoSTAS) Social Applied Science (SAS)* during 8 – 9 *November 2024* in *Aryaduta Hotel, Bali, Indonesia.* These articles have been peer-reviewed by the members of the *Indonesian Society of Applied Science* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was EDAS Conference and Journal Management System.

The articles were first screened by iThenticate for the submissions for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review, matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favorable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were allowed to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final. The reviewers are recused from the handling of papers by closely related authors, and steps are taken to reduce unconscious bias.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions: The pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference; Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research; Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results; Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field; Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

Number of articles sent for peer review 99
Number of accepted articles 96
Acceptance rate 96.9%
Number of reviewers 11

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

The conference was partially funded by Politeknik Negeri Bali (PNB), a polytechnic that has also supported or participated in some of the research submitted to the conference. All authors and reviewers are required to disclose their funding sources, and those research works that were funded by PNB have been reviewed by members of the Scientific Committee with no personal interests in the company.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

