

Peer-Review Statements

Ekawati Marhaenny Dukut^{1*}, Antonius Suratno², Heny Hartono³, Radhika Gajjala⁴, M. Mary Jayanthi⁵, Christopher D. Gabriel⁶, Hazel P. Atilano.⁶

^{1,2,3} English Department, Faculty of Language and Arts, Soegijapranata Catholic University, Indonesia

⁴ Media and Communication, American Culture Studies, Bowling Green State University, U.S.A.

⁵ International Affairs, Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Tiruchirapalli, Tamilnadu, India

⁶ De La Salle University – Dasmarinas, The Philippines

⁷ English Language Education, College of Education, University of St. La Salle, Bacolod, The Philippines

*Editor-in-Chief of the [7th CIC]. Email: ekawati@unika.ac.id

All articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [7th CIC] during [4 September 2024] in [Semarang, Indonesia]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [Scientific Committee] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was OJS and email.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each article's topic with the reviewers' expertise by considering any competing interests. An article could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. Initial article submissions sent to https://journal.unika.ac.id/index.php/celt and celt@unika.ac.id underwent screening by the reviewers who assessed on the general quality and suitability. When authors receive the evaluation of rejected

E. M. Dukut et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 7th Celt International Conference (CIC 2024)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 897, https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-348-1_1

submissions, they are then offered the chance to amend and resubmit their work by incorporating the feedback from the reviewers. In this instance, a third reviewer is assigned to evaluate the revised article. The decision on acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript is definitive after the Editor-in-Chief thoroughly checks on all necessary requirements for publication.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- (1) Relevance of Topic: Assessing how the topic discussed in the article aligns with the theme of the conference,
- (2) Originality/Novelty: Evaluating the extent to which the topic demonstrates originality or presents novel ideas,
- (3) Depth of Analysis: Assessing the extent to which the authors delve into the analysis of the research findings comprehensively,
- (4) Significance: Examining the article's contribution to the field and its impact, and
- (5) Methodology: Evaluating the relevance of the author's chosen methodology to the proposed aims and its role in generating meaningful findings.
- (6) Ethical Standards: Examining the article's adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field, such as the availability of consent forms from those interviewed
- (7) Language use: Examining the clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all articles were checked for textual overlap to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the committee using Turnitin. Only articles with a similarity index below 10% are accepted for further process.

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions 104

Number of articles sent for peer review 45

Number of accepted articles 22

Acceptance rate 21.15%

Number of reviewers 7

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest. Submissions that have the Editor-in-Chief's or Reviewers' names was due to the case of them either being a member of research team or head of the research. Due to this, the article is assigned to reviewers who has no personal interests in them.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

