

Peer-Review Statements

Nur Fitranto¹, Nadya Dwi Oktafiranda¹, Muhammad Gilang Ramadhan¹, Made Bang Redy Utama¹, Ayu Purnama Wenly¹, Sri Indah Ihsani¹, Raisa Ganeswara¹, Ela Yuliana¹

¹Faculty of Sport Science, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jakarta, Indonesia

 ² Nadya Dwi Oktafiranda, Universitas Negeri Jakarta2
*Editor-in-Chief of the International Conference of Sport Science, Physical Education and Health (ICSSPEH) 2024. Email: <u>nurfitranto@unj.ac.id</u>

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *International Conference* of Sport Science, Physical Education and Health (ICSSPEH) 2024 during August 28 -29th, 2024 in Jakarta. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Reviewer Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was https://seminars.unj.ac.id/ics-speh2024/

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;

© The Author(s) 2025

- 2 N. Fitranto et al.
 - 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
 - 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
 - 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. *We apply plagiarism scanning with Turnitin before the article is subjected to a substantial review process.*

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions64Number of articles sent for peer review64Number of accepted articles21Acceptance rate32.8%Number of reviewers20

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

$\overline{()}$	•	\$
\sim	BY	NC