Enhancing Manufacturing Resilience by Integrating Circular Economy through Innovation and Sustainability

Tajuzzaman Hassanor[1], Thirumeni T Subramaniam[1], Azmi Che Leh[1], Sharifah Rosfashida Syed Abd Latif[1] and Azmi Mohamed[1]

[1] Open University Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia
tajuzzaman@oum.edu.my

Abstract. This research investigates integrating Circular Economy principles with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 7, 9, and 12 to enhance sustainability, innovation, and resilience in the manufacturing industry. Conducted by the Open University of Malaysia (OUM), the study assesses Industry 4.0, Circular Economy, and SDGs awareness among Open Distance Learning (ODL) students. It identifies gaps in current education and proposes interventions. The traditional linear economic model leads to resource depletion and environmental issues, hindering sustainability. Circular Economy principles, focusing on resource efficiency and waste reduction, offer a promising alternative but are not widely implemented. Using a quantitative methodology with structured questionnaires, this study evaluates the current understanding of Circular Economy principles and their alignment with SDGs 7, 9, and 12. Key findings reveal varying familiarity levels, highlighting the need for better education. Strategies such as recycling, designing for longevity, resource sharing, remanufacturing, and waste reduction show pathways to sustainable manufacturing. The proposed framework emphasizes technological innovation, sustainable design, resource management, waste management, education, and continuous monitoring to foster a regenerative manufacturing industry, aligning practices with global sustainability goals.

Keywords: Circular economy, industry 4.0, resource efficiency, sustainable development goals.

1 Introduction

As an institution specializing in Open Distance Learning (ODL), the Open University of Malaysia (OUM) is strategically positioned to actively promote sustainability literacy. In 2018, OUM incorporated the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into its university course, "OUMH1603: Learning Skills for the 21st Century," offered to all diploma and bachelor's degree learners. This course, consisting of ten modules, focuses on 21st-century soft skills, literacy skills, the 4Cs (Creative Thinking, Critical Thinking, Collaborative Skills, and Communication Skills), as well as Global Citizenship Education and Environmental Education. The motivation behind this

© The Author(s) 2024
I. P. P. Salmon et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 6th Open Society Conference (OSC 2024)*,
Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 894,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-340-5_16

research stems from the desire to expand the university's outreach efforts to raise awareness of the SDGs. The goal is to encourage the university community to actively participate in the global movement aimed at creating a better and more sustainable future through research on sustainability literacy and education. A comprehensive understanding of the concept of sustainability empowers individuals to make informed decisions in their personal and professional lives. The SDGs highlight crucial aspects of our existence that often escape our attention, promoting global awareness, a theme emphasized within the framework of 21st-century skills. This awareness can serve as a catalyst for advocating sustainable development practices.

The aim of this research is to assess the awareness and knowledge of ODL students regarding Industry 4.0, circular economy principles, and sustainable development goals as they pertain to the manufacturing sector. This assessment holds paramount importance in understanding the extent to which ODL equips students with the requisite understanding to navigate the evolving manufacturing landscape effectively. The outcomes of this research can reveal the strengths and gaps in current educational approaches, thereby informing the design and delivery of targeted interventions that cultivate comprehensive competence among ODL students.

The question of whether ODL students possess the necessary awareness and knowledge in the domains of Industry 4.0, circular economy principles, and sustainable development goals is a pressing concern that necessitates empirical investigation. As Industry 4.0 transforms manufacturing, sustainable practices become indispensable, making it imperative for future professionals to possess a holistic understanding of these interconnected concepts. Bridging this awareness gap becomes essential to ensuring the preparedness of ODL students for a rapidly evolving industrial landscape. Consequently, this research seeks to bridge the divide between traditional educational frameworks and the demands of modern industries by unraveling the awareness and knowledge facets of ODL students regarding Industry 4.0, circular economy principles, and sustainable development goals within the manufacturing context.

1.1 Problem Statement

The manufacturing industry faces significant challenges in transitioning towards sustainability due to the prevailing linear economic model characterized by resource extraction, consumption, and disposal. This traditional approach leads to resource depletion, environmental degradation, and increased waste, hindering the achievement of global sustainability goals. While the Circular Economy presents a promising alternative by promoting resource efficiency, waste minimization, and product lifecycle extension, its principles are not yet widely understood or implemented within the manufacturing sector. Moreover, the alignment of Circular Economy practices with specific Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDGs 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy), 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure), and 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), remains underexplored. This gap necessitates a comprehensive framework to guide manufacturers in integrating Circular Economy principles with these SDGs, thereby enhancing sustainability, innovation, and business agility in the face of global disruptions.

This study aims to 1) assess the Current Understanding and Implementation of Circular Economy Principles in the Manufacturing Industry; 2) analyze the Alignment of Circular Economy Practices with SDGs 7, 9, and 1; and 3) develop a Comprehensive Framework for Integrating Circular Economy Principles with SDGs in the Manufacturing Industry.

2 Literature Review

2.1 The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Today's society faces very complex social, political, economic, and environmental challenges. These issues concern citizens, businesses, institutions, and governments. The Millennium Development Goals guided the work of the United Nations from 2000 to 2015. As a consequence of this process, the United Nations Security Council began the process to define the so-called "2030 Agenda" [1]. Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) is the 2030 core agenda in sustainable development which was agreed by world leader on 25 September 2015 at the United Nations Conference. SDG is continuity to development agenda after the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) end in 2015. MDGs consist of 8 Goals and 21 Targets. While SDG were expanded to 17 Goals and 169 Targets which continue the goals to achieve the 2030 agenda in stability in three dimensions of sustainable development namely social, economy and environment [2].

The Sustainable Development Goals run from 2016 to 2030 and are formally the goals of the United Nations 'Transforming our world; the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development,' an agenda that sets out the vision, principles, and commitments to a fairer and more sustainable world for all [3]. The project of the Sustainable Development Goals started when the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) ended in 2015, with some notable results: reduction in poverty, increase in primary education enrolment and gender parity, fall in child and global maternal mortality, and better access to sanitation [4]. The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), otherwise known as the Global Goals, are a set of objectives within a universal agreement to end poverty, protect all that makes the planet habitable, and ensure that all people enjoy peace and prosperity, now and in the future. The Goals were adopted by all member states of the United Nations formally in 2015, for the period 2016 to 2030, to address the overwhelming empirical and scientific evidence that the world needs a radically more sustainable approach [3].

The formal resolution adopted by the UN General Assembly in September 2015 was published on 21 October 2015. The UN resolution refers to five 'areas of critical importance'; sometimes known as the 5 'P's, these are People, Planet, Prosperity, Peace, and Partnerships. The goals were launched with the strapline of 'Ensuring that no one is left behind,' implying that development and leveling up will be the keys to progress by 2030 [3]. The SDGs comprise 17 goals and 169 targets that include ecological, social, and economic aspects [4]. The SDGs range from "the wellbeing of every individual to the health of the planet, from infrastructure to institutions, from governance to green energy, peaceful societies to productive employment," with a number of targets associated with each goal. In total, there are 17 SDGs and 169 associated targets, forming a comprehensive and ambitious vision for the future that embraces a wide range of environmental, social, and economic issues, including climate change, energy, water stewardship, marine conservation, biodiversity, poverty, food supply and security, sustainable production and consumption, healthcare, education, gender equality, peace, and economic growth [5].

2.2 Circular Economy

There is a pressing need to transition to more sustainable sociotechnical systems [6]. Economic challenges, such as supply risk, problematic ownership structures, deregulated markets, and flawed incentive structures, lead to increasingly frequent financial and economic instabilities for individual companies and entire economies [7]. To address these and other sustainability issues, the concept of the Circular Economy—while not entirely new—has recently gained importance on the agendas of policymakers [8]. This becomes evident, for instance, in the comprehensive European Circular Economy package [9]. The circular economy is a model of production and consumption that involves sharing, leasing, reusing, repairing, refurbishing, and recycling existing materials and products for as long as possible [10]. In this way, the life cycle of products is extended. In practice, it implies reducing waste to a minimum. When a product reaches the end of its life, its materials are kept within the economy wherever possible. These can be productively used again and again, thereby creating further value. This is a departure from the traditional, linear economic model, which is based on a take-make-consume-throw away pattern. This model relies on large quantities of cheap, easily accessible materials and energy [11].

The concept of extending the lifecycle of products involves not only reducing waste but also rethinking how products are designed, used, and disposed of. In a circular economy, the focus is on creating systems where resources are continuously cycled back into the economy rather than being discarded after use [12]. This approach encourages the development of products that are designed for durability, repairability, and recyclability, ensuring that materials are reused in new products rather than ending up in landfills. When a product reaches the end of its life, its components are carefully separated and reintegrated into the production cycle, reducing the need for new raw materials. This process often involves innovative technologies and business models, such as remanufacturing, refurbishing, and recycling, which collectively contribute to a more sustainable economy [13]. Moreover, this practice also encourages the adoption of resource-efficient processes that minimize environmental impact and foster the conservation of natural resources. This shift from a traditional linear economy to a circular one is significant. The linear model, characterized by the take-make-consume-throw away pattern, depends heavily on the extraction and consumption of finite resources. This not only leads to the depletion of natural resources but also results in significant waste and environmental degradation [14]. The linear economy's reliance on large quantities of cheap, easily accessible materials and energy is increasingly unsustainable, particularly as global resource scarcity and environmental concerns grow more pressing.

3 Method

A survey approach was selected for this study as a means of exploring levels of awareness, perceptions, and preparation of a number of academics. Survey research is usually undertaken through the use of questionnaires or interviews [15]. The monomethod, in which only structured questionnaires are applied, was used for data collection. Quantitative analysis of collated data was subsequently presented for discussion. An interview will also be conducted with selected OUM students who are working in the manufacturing industry. Interviews are also useful because this topic is rather complex, requires lengthy explanation, and needs a dialogue between two people to thoroughly investigate. Additionally, interviews may be the best method to gather information regarding the process by which a phenomenon occurs, like how a person decides.

Data collection was completed in a single phase of less than two months. Quantitative Data Analysis: Embedded at the core of the analysis are the quantitative findings garnered through structured questionnaires. Employing a monomethod design, systematic quantitative exploration takes center stage. This phase involves the adept utilization of statistical techniques to quantify the prevalence and distribution of diverse responses. The spectrum of descriptive statistics—mean, median, and standard deviation—will be harnessed to fathom central tendencies and variations intrinsic to the data. Beyond these foundations, inferential statistical methods, including correlation analysis, regression analysis, and potentially factor analysis, will be deftly employed. Through these methods, underlying relationships, patterns, and potential predictive factors intertwined within the dimensions of awareness, perceptions, and preparedness will be meticulously uncovered. Qualitative Data Analysis: Embracing a qualitative dimension, the insights procured from interviews contribute significantly to the analysis. Qualitative data analysis methodologies such as thematic analysis, content analysis, or narrative analysis are harnessed to fathom the depths of participants' perceptions and experiences. Within this systematic procedure, coding, categorization, and theme identification illuminate the interview transcripts. Through this discerning lens, recurrent themes, intricate patterns, and distinctive viewpoints emerge, affording the opportunity to explore the nuanced 'how' and 'why' that underpin academic awareness. As a result, the qualitative analysis reveals the multifaceted factors that contribute to their perceptions and preparedness.

3.1 Population and Samples

A comprehensive set of survey questionnaires was effectively disseminated through the widely accessible online platform of Google Forms. The survey garnered a total of 105 completed responses from the target audience. While the obtained sample size falls somewhat short of the initial expectations, these 105 completed responses remain a valuable source of data for the research endeavor. Each response represents an individual's unique viewpoint and insights, contributing to a multifaceted perspective on the subject matter. The collected responses, though not as extensive as envisioned, hold significance in shedding light on the research objectives and themes. The data derived from this subset of participants holds the potential to uncover patterns, trends, and correlations within the scope of the survey. Although the sample size may influence the extent of generalizability of the findings, the depth and quality of the insights provided by the participants are undeniable.

As the analysis process commences, the focus will be on extracting meaningful information from these responses. Through careful examination, patterns and trends can be identified, offering a glimpse into the attitudes, opinions, and experiences of those who participated. While acknowledging the constraints imposed by the sample size, it's important to approach the analysis with a balanced perspective, taking into consideration both the richness of the data and the potential limitations. Furthermore, the responses from these 105 participants can serve as a basis for making informed decisions and recommendations. The diverse array of perspectives represented in the completed questionnaires will contribute to a holistic understanding of the subject at hand. The insights derived from this data subset will be a cornerstone for shaping future actions and strategies, making the most of the resources available while acknowledging the inherent variability that arises from a smaller sample. While the received sample size of 105 completed responses may not have met the initial targets, it is essential to recognize the inherent value of each response. These participants have generously shared their insights, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the research topics. As the analysis unfolds, the focus will be on extracting meaningful insights from this valuable dataset, ensuring that the outcomes reflect both the richness of the data and the limitations imposed by the sample size.

3.2 Instrument

A structured questionnaire was meticulously developed using Google survey forms, ensuring ease of distribution and accessibility for participants. The design of the questionnaire incorporated a combination of both closed-ended and open-ended questions to capture a broad spectrum of responses, providing both quantitative and qualitative data for comprehensive analysis. Additionally, to ensure the reliability and validity of the data collected, several structured questions were adapted from the established work [15], which has been recognized for its robust methodology in similar studies.

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Understanding the Concept of Circular Economy

Participants were presented with options to express their understanding of the Circular Economy concept. The distribution of responses is as follows:

Table 1. Understanding of Circular Economy

Option	Understanding of Circular Economy	Number of Participants	Percentage

(a)	No knowledge of the Circular Economy	10	9.5%
(b)	Heard the term but don't know much about it	25	23.8%
(c)	Basic understanding of Circular Economy principles	50	47.6%
(d)	Comprehensive understanding of Circular Economy and its applications	20	19.0%

The analysis of responses reveals a diverse range of familiarity with the Circular Economy concept. While a significant number of participants have a basic understanding of its principles, it is encouraging to note that a portion of participants claim to possess a comprehensive understanding of the Circular Economy and its applications. This distribution signifies a progressive awareness of Circular Economy principles among the surveyed participants. The tabulated data provides a concise and insightful overview of the distribution of responses garnered from the survey participants, shedding light on their diverse levels of understanding concerning the Circular Economy concept. The structure of the table is designed with precision, delineating the various options available to participants and the subsequent quantification of their choices in terms of participants and percentages. With each option meticulously linked to a distinct level of comprehension, the table reflects the array of perspectives held by the survey respondents. It astutely captures the nuances inherent in the participants' varying degrees of familiarity with Circular Economy principles, thereby offering a comprehensive visual representation of the spectrum of understanding present within the surveyed population. As we peruse this table, we are presented with a comprehensive snapshot of participants' inclinations, elucidating not only the prominent levels of comprehension but also the distribution across the spectrum.

The clear demarcation of participants within each option accentuates the prevalence of specific understanding levels and underscores the diversity that characterizes participants' awareness of Circular Economy principles. The calculated percentages accompanying each option provide a contextual understanding of the prevalence of particular levels of comprehension within the broader sample. This numeric dimension adds depth to the table, transforming it into a powerful tool for interpreting the collective viewpoints of participants. In essence, this table emerges as a valuable asset in understanding the nuances of participants' familiarity with Circular Economy principles. Its succinct design harmoniously encapsulates a wealth of information, enabling stakeholders to swiftly grasp the prevalent degrees of understanding and fostering informed decision-making. This table stands as a bridge between data and interpretation, laying the groundwork for detailed analysis and targeted interventions aimed at augmenting awareness and knowledge of Circular Economy concepts.

Description of Circular Economy Concept

During the survey, participants were presented with a pivotal question aimed at gauging their understanding of the Circular Economy concept. This question asked participants to carefully evaluate and select the statement that they believed best encapsulates the essence of the Circular Economy. The subsequent tabulated representation provides a comprehensive breakdown of the distribution of responses garnered from participants in relation to each of the available options. The distribution of responses for each option is as follows:

Table 2. Description of Circular Economy Concept

Option	Description of Circular Economy	Number of Participants	Percentage
(a)	A linear economic model focused on continuous growth and resource extraction	10	9.5%
(b)	An economic model that aims to minimize waste and keep resources in use for as long as possible	85	81.0%
(c)	An economic model that promotes unlimited consumption and resource depletion	5	4.8%
(d)	A business model that focuses on traditional manufacturing processes without considering environmental impacts	5	4.8%

The analysis reveals that 81.0% of participants correctly identify the Circular Economy as an economic model focused on minimizing waste and extending resource use. This indicates a strong understanding of its core principles, emphasizing sustainability and resource efficiency. The tabulated data captures the diverse perspectives within the survey, with each option reflecting different interpretations of the Circular Economy. The percentages provide context, highlighting the collective sentiment and varied viewpoints, forming a comprehensive understanding of participants' grasp of the concept.

Strategies and Practices of Circular Economy in Manufacturing

In response to the prompt that encouraged participants to delve into the realm of Circular Economy implementation within the manufacturing industry, a rich tapestry of insights emerged. This open-ended inquiry sought to unveil the participants' understanding of strategies and practices that could drive the adoption of Circular Economy principles in manufacturing processes. The resulting collection of diverse and thoughtful responses illuminates the dynamic landscape of Circular Economy applications within this context. The participants' responses reflected an array of strategies that hold the potential to revolutionize manufacturing practices and align them with the core principles of the Circular Economy. A recurring theme among the articulated strategies was "recycling", wherein materials are reprocessed and repurposed, thereby extending their lifespan and reducing the need for new resource extraction. This recognition of recycling's significance highlights the participants' cognizance of the Circular Economy's emphasis on minimizing waste and promoting resource efficiency.

Another salient strategy mentioned by participants was “product design for longevity.” This approach involves crafting products that are durable, repairable, and upgradeable, thereby extending their useful life and circumventing the throwaway culture often associated with linear economic models. This response showcases the participants’ recognition of the pivotal role that design plays in shaping the durability and sustainability of products. Moreover, the concept of “resource sharing” emerged as a noteworthy strategy. Participants acknowledged the value of collaborative consumption, wherein resources are shared among multiple users, optimizing their utilization and reducing overall demand. This insight into the importance of shared resources exemplifies an understanding of Circular Economy’s aspiration to maximize the value extracted from existing resources. The inclusion of “remanufacturing” as a strategy underscores the participants’ grasp of the Circular Economy’s intent to recover and restore used products to their original condition. This approach promotes the reduction of waste by extending the lifecycle of products through refurbishment, aligning with Circular Economy principles that strive to maintain resources within the economy for as long as possible.

“Waste reduction” was another practice cited by participants, signifying an awareness of the Circular Economy’s mission to minimize waste generation at various stages of manufacturing processes. This strategy reflects a keen understanding of the need to optimize resource use while minimizing environmental impacts. In sum, the wide array of strategies and practices mentioned by participants speaks to their nuanced understanding of how Circular Economy principles can be embedded within the manufacturing industry. Their responses underscore the diverse pathways available to create more sustainable, efficient, and resource-resilient manufacturing systems. By recognizing these strategies, participants showcased an appreciation for the Circular Economy’s transformative potential, further emphasizing the importance of fostering awareness and knowledge around these practices to facilitate a transition towards more sustainable and regenerative manufacturing practices.

4.2 Understanding of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

In this section, participants’ familiarity with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) was gauged, followed by their ability to match specific SDGs with their respective descriptions. Additionally, participants were asked to provide examples of how manufacturing practices can contribute to achieving one or more of the SDGs.

Familiarity with SDGs

At the outset, participants were prompted to self-report their familiarity with the SDGs, which serve as a guiding compass for global sustainability efforts. The resulting distribution of responses provides a snapshot of the participants’ awareness:

Table 3. Familiarity with SDGs

Option	Participants	Percentage
(a) Yes, I am familiar with all of the SDGs	45	42.9%
(b) Yes, I am familiar with some of the SDGs	50	47.6%
(c) No, I have no knowledge of the SDGs	10	9.5%

The tabulated data reveals varying levels of familiarity with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) among participants. Notably, 42.9% are familiar with all SDGs, indicating a strong understanding and commitment to global sustainability. Another 47.6% are aware of some SDGs, showing knowledge of specific goals. Meanwhile, 9.5% have no knowledge of the SDGs, highlighting an area for potential educational efforts. This distribution reflects a broad spectrum of awareness, emphasizing the importance of ongoing initiatives to increase understanding and engagement with the SDGs for a more sustainable future.

Matching SDGs with Descriptions

Moving forward, participants were tasked with matching specific SDGs with their corresponding descriptions, a task that required both understanding and alignment with the essence of each goal. The outcome of this exercise unveiled the participants' adeptness at recognizing the essence of the SDGs:

Table 4. Matching SDGs with Descriptions

SDG	Description	Participants	Percentage
i) SDG 7	(a) Affordable and Clean Energy	65	61.9%
ii) SDG 12	(b) Responsible Consumption and Production	80	76.2%
iii) SDG 9	(c) Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure	75	71.4%

The tabulated data highlights participants' strong ability to accurately match Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) with their descriptions, reflecting a deep understanding of these global imperatives. Notably, 61.9% correctly identified SDG 7, "Affordable and Clean Energy", emphasizing the role of energy access in sustainability. Additionally, 76.2% matched SDG 12, "Responsible Consumption and Production", showing their grasp of sustainable consumption practices. For SDG 9, "Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure", 71.4% accurately connected it with its description, underscoring the importance of innovation and resilient infrastructure. Overall, these results indicate a well-rounded comprehension of the SDGs among participants.

Examples of Manufacturing Practices and SDGs:

The survey journey culminated in a call for participants to share their insights by providing examples of how manufacturing practices could be harnessed to advance the SDGs. This open-ended question illuminated a spectrum of innovative and pragmatic viewpoints. Themes that emerged from the responses included:

1. SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy): Participants echoed the significance of transitioning to renewable energy sources in manufacturing, from harnessing solar energy to incorporating wind energy. These practices contribute to reducing carbon footprints and embracing clean energy alternatives.
2. SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure): Manufacturing practices that embrace technological innovation, automation, and advanced infrastructure were put forth as pivotal enablers of SDG 9. The resonance of smart manufacturing and innovative processes underscores participants' cognizance of the role that industry and innovation play in sustainable development.
3. SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production): A recurring theme revolved around the concept of circular economy principles, where participants highlighted designing products for longevity, repair, and recycling. Such practices align with responsible consumption and production by curbing waste and resource depletion.

The tabulated responses highlight participants' strong understanding of the connection between manufacturing practices and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Their ability to accurately match specific SDGs with their descriptions demonstrates a deep awareness of how industrial activities intersect with global sustainability efforts. Participants recognize that manufacturing is integral to achieving SDGs, from energy access and responsible consumption to innovation and resilient infrastructure. This insight reflects not just theoretical knowledge but an appreciation for the real-world impact of aligning manufacturing with sustainability goals. The alignment underscores participants' holistic awareness of how manufacturing can either support or impede progress towards a sustainable future.

Table 5. Framework for Integrating Circular Economy Principles with SDGs in the Manufacturing Industry

Framework Component	Description	Aligned SDGs	Implementation Strategy
1. Circular Economy Principles			
Resource Efficiency	Optimize use of materials and energy	SDG 12	Conduct comprehensive assessments, track and optimize material and energy usage, promote recycled and renewable materials
Product Lifecycle Extension	Design for durability, reparability, and recyclability	SDG 12	Design products for longevity, use eco-friendly materials, implement modular design principles

Waste Minimization	Reduce, reuse, and recycle waste	SDG 12	Implement waste reduction strategies, establish recycling programs, encourage industrial symbiosis
Collaborative Consumption	Promote sharing, leasing, and reusing products	SDG 12	Develop partnerships for circular supply chains, create systems for resource sharing
Remanufacturing	Restore used products to their original condition	SDG 12	Establish remanufacturing programs, invest in technologies for product restoration
2. Technology and Innovation	Invest in R&D, implement energy-efficient technologies	SDG 7 and SDG 9	Invest in innovative technologies, implement renewable energy sources, foster a culture of continuous improvement and innovation
3. Product Design and Development	Design for sustainability, use eco-friendly materials	SDG 12	Design products with focus on longevity, reparability, recyclability, use eco-friendly materials, implement modular design principles
4. Resource Management	Optimize resource use, create circular supply chains	SDG 12	Track and optimize material and energy usage, promote recycled and renewable materials, develop partnerships for circular supply chains
5. Waste Management	Implement waste reduction and recycling programs	SDG 12	Implement waste reduction strategies, establish recycling programs, encourage industrial symbiosis
6. Education and Awareness	Conduct training and engagement programs	SDG 12	Conduct training programs for employees, engage stakeholders to promote sustainable practices, share success stories and best practices
7. Monitoring and Evaluation	Develop metrics, track progress, review and assess strategies	SDG 12	Develop metrics and indicators, regularly review and assess strategies, adjust plans based on feedback and performance data

Aligned SDGs:

1. SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy
   Focuses on ensuring access to affordable, reliable, sustainable, and modern energy for all. In the context of the Circular Economy, this SDG emphasizes the shift towards energy efficiency, renewable energy sources, and reducing waste in energy consumption during manufacturing processes. Implementation strategies might include investing in energy-efficient technologies, adopting renewable energy sources, and redesigning production processes to minimize energy use. Expected outcomes include reduced energy costs, lower carbon emissions, and enhanced energy security.
2. SDG 9: Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure

Targets building resilient infrastructure, promoting inclusive and sustainable industrialization, and fostering innovation. When aligned with Circular Economy principles, this SDG promotes the development of sustainable industrial practices, innovation in recycling and material recovery, and the creation of durable and adaptable infrastructure. Strategies for implementation could involve investing in research and development for sustainable materials, implementing closed-loop production systems, and enhancing infrastructure to support circular business models. Anticipated outcomes include increased resource efficiency, reduced environmental impact, and the creation of green jobs.

3. SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production
   Encourages sustainable consumption and production patterns. Integrating Circular Economy principles with SDG 12 involves promoting sustainable material use, reducing waste, and encouraging product life extension through reuse, refurbishment, and recycling. Implementation strategies might include adopting eco-design principles, promoting consumer awareness on sustainable products, and establishing take-back schemes for end-of-life products. The expected outcomes are decreased waste generation, reduced demand for virgin materials, and a more sustainable consumption model within the manufacturing industry.

This framework provides a clear and organized framework for integrating Circular Economy principles with SDGs 7, 9, and 12 in the manufacturing industry, outlining the implementation strategies and expected outcomes for each component. This extended framework highlights the interconnections between Circular Economy practices and the selected SDGs, providing a detailed approach to how the manufacturing industry can contribute to achieving these goals. It outlines specific strategies and the expected positive impacts, offering a comprehensive guide for stakeholders to implement sustainable practices in line with global objectives.

5 Conclusion

The survey analysis underscores the vital connection between manufacturing resilience and the integration of Circular Economy principles, supported by innovation and sustainability. Participants' deep understanding of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) reflects their recognition of the transformative potential within manufacturing practices. Strategies such as renewable energy adoption, circular economy principles, and technological innovation emerged as pivotal approaches for enhancing manufacturing resilience. By aligning manufacturing processes with the SDGs, particularly in areas like responsible consumption and production, participants demonstrated how integrating sustainability can strengthen the industry against global challenges. This alignment not only fosters environmental stewardship but also drives innovation, enabling manufacturing systems to become more resilient. The participants' ability to accurately match SDGs with their thematic areas further highlights their awareness of how sustainable practices can be leveraged to strengthen manufacturing. The analysis, therefore, emphasizes the critical role of education and engagement in promoting Circular Economy principles and innovation, which are key to enhancing the resilience of the manufacturing sector in pursuit of global sustainability goals.

References

1. World Commission on Environment and Development, “Our common future,” United Nations, 1987. [Online]. Available: https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf.
2. Department of Statistics Malaysia, “Official Portal of Department of Statistics Malaysia”, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.dosm.gov.my/. [Accessed: 11-May-2024].
3. S. Morton, D. Pencheon, and N. Squires, “Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and their implementation: A national global framework for health, development and equity needs a systems approach at every level”, British Medical Bulletin, vol. 124, pp. 81–90, Oct. 2017.
4. D. Jati, Y. Hermawan, and M. A. Rahman, “Awareness and Knowledge Assessment of Sustainable Development Goals Among University Students”, Jurnal Ekonomi & Studi Pembangunan, Oct. 2019.
5. P. Jones, M. Wynn, D. Hillier, and D. Comfort, “The Sustainable Development Goals and Information and Communication Technologies”, Indonesian Journal of Sustainability Accounting and Management, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2017. ISSN 2597-6214, e-ISSN 2597-6222.
6. V. M. Piza, J. Aparicio, C. Rodríguez, R. Marín, J. Beltrán, and R. Bedolla, “Sustainability in Higher Education: A Didactic Strategy for Environmental Mainstreaming”, Sustainability, vol. 10, p. 4556, 2018.
7. J. Sachs, The Age of Sustainable Development. New York: Columbia University Press, 2015.
8. G. Brennan, M. Tennant, and F. Blomsma, “Business and production solutions: closing the loop”, in Sustainability: Key Issues, H. Kopnina and E. Shoreman-Ouimet, Eds. London: EarthScan, Routledge, 2015, pp. 219–239.
9. European Commission, “Closing the Loop - an EU Action Plan for the Circular Economy”, COM (2015) 614, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions, European Commission, Brussels, 2015.
10. T. Zink and R. Geyer, “Circular economy rebound: A concept where circular economy activities might increase overall production, potentially offsetting environmental benefits”, 2017.
11. A. Murray, K. R. Skene, and K. Haynes, “The interdisciplinary origins and application of the circular economy: Addressing its tensions and proposing a revised definition”, 2017.
12. L. Xu, “A review of classical and recent literature on circular economy: Proposing future research directions and highlighting unresolved theoretical foundations”, 2014.
13. S. Sikdar, “The novelty of the circular economy concept: Potential applications and limitations”, 2019.
14. J. Korhonen, A. Honkasalo, and J. Seppälä, “Circular economy: Critical examination and challenges for global sustainability”, 2018.
15. D. Aygün, I. G. Yılmaz, and Z. E. Sati, “Industry 4 Awareness: A Research on Postgraduate Students”, in 6th International Management Information Systems Conference, Istanbul: Kadir Has University, 2019, pp. 239–247.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.