



# Peer-Review Statements

Inam Ul Ahad<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland 1

\*Editor-in-Chief of the **KEYTECH 2024**. Email: [inamul.ahad@dcu.ie](mailto:inamul.ahad@dcu.ie)

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the KEYTECH 2024 during *01/09/2024 TO 02/09/2024* in *Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific and Technical Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

## 1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double blind*. Each submission was examined by *at least 2* reviewer(s) independently.

*The conference submission management system was EasyChair*

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a poor quality and formatting issues were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

## 2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions [*Note: please summarise your criteria and order them by importance; the following list is an example*]:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;

2 I. U. Ahad

3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

### 3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	73
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	72
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	43
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	58.9%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	23

### 4. COMPETING INTERESTS

The conference was partially funded by Dublin City University, BIOMED 5.0, and GetInnovative4Impact, EU projects that have also supported or participated in some of the research submitted to the conference. All authors and reviewers were required to disclose their funding sources, and those research works that were funded by these mentioned above fundings, have been reviewed by members of the Scientific Committee with no personal interests in the company.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

