

## **Peer-Review Statements**

Musyarofah<sup>1\*</sup>, Samsu Dlukha Nurcholik<sup>1</sup>, M. Ihsan Alfani Putera<sup>1</sup>, Ade Wahyu Yusariarta Putra Parmita<sup>1</sup>, Riza Hadi Saputra<sup>1</sup>, Alfi Suci Dirgantari<sup>1</sup>, Rulliannor Syah Putra<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut Teknologi Kalimantan

\*Editor-in-Chief of the BICAME 2024. Email: musyarofah@lecturer.itk.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 5<sup>th</sup> Borneo International Conference (BICAME 2024) during 18-19 September 2024 in Balikpapan, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the review and editorial body and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

#### 1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently. The conference submission management system was Easychair.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

We try to recruit reviewers from diverse backgrounds to ensure a variety of perspectives and reduce the influence of any single bias. We also have clear guidelines for reviewer conduct in order to maintain accountability and deter unethical behavior.

# 2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 2 Musyarofah et al.
  - 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
  - 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
  - 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
  - 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
  - 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher using iThenticate software.

### 3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions 108

Number of articles sent for peer review 90

Number of accepted articles 70

Acceptance rate 64.8%

Number of reviewers 59

Number of paper published to Atlantis 13

### 4. COMPETING INTERESTS

While several authors are also editors for BICAME 2024, their manuscript was reviewed by independent reviewers who have no affiliation with them. The review process was conducted in accordance with the standard ethical guidelines to ensure objectivity and impartiality.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

