



Peer-Review Statements

Rarastesa, Zita^{1,*}, Gajjala, Radhika², Isam, Hishamudin³, Djatmika, Djatmika⁴

^{1,4} Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia

² Bowling State University, the United States

³ Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kedah, Malaysia

*Editor-in-Chief of the [ICCoLLiC 2024]. Email: zitararastesa@staff.uns.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference on Communication, Language, Literature and Culture (ICCoLLiC) 2024 during on September 10 in Surakarta, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the ICCoLLiC Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was managed by the ICCoLLiC technical committee members using the conference submission system.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

All the processes of peer-reviews were carried out in blind reviews. The handling of the article, which require revisions was done by the technical committee member. The reviewer/s sent it to the technical committee and then he sent it to the author.

[Any efforts in improving peer review should also appear in this section; for example, how reviewers are recused from the handling of papers by closely related authors, steps taken to reduce unconscious bias, etc.]

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.
6. Adherence to the Atlantis paper template.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher and Chat GBT check.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	98
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	75
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	54
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	55.1%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	8

[Any additional information about article statistics belongs to this section, but the listing should suffice in most situations. More rows can be added if necessary, but please do not delete any existing row. Numbers are for example only. “Acceptance rate” is (number of accepted articles) divided by (number of total submissions).]

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

[Competing interests refer to any interests of the Editor-in-Chief and/or members of the review body, that may or may be perceived to influence editorial decisions. It is normal to have interests, even competing ones, but the ethics of scientific publication demands that any competing interests be properly declared, and that appropriate steps be taken to uphold the validity of the editorial process in their presence.]

This is the proper section to document competing interests and the measures to address them. We show three examples here, and we encourage the organizers consult the Publisher's and/or COPE guidelines for further information. In case of uncertainty, please contact the Publisher.

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the ICCoLLiC Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

