



Peer-Review Statements

Suci Maulina^{1*}, Heri Fajri², Novita Diana³, Fauziah Fauziah⁴,
Nurjannah Nurjannah⁵, Auzan Qasthary⁶, Yuni Saputri⁷

¹²³⁴⁵⁷ *Universitas Jabal Ghafur*

⁶ *Universitas Syiah Kuala*

**Editor-in-Chief of the 3rd ICoETS. Email: sucimaulina@gmail.com*

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 3rd ICoETS during September 25-26, 2024 in Jabal Ghafur University, Sigli, Aceh, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the reviewer committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by a reviewer independently. The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper was considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the reviewer.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

The paper was also checked for its similarity. If the paper has the similarity greater than 20 %, the authors were asked to revise their paper.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality and novelty;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;

© The Author(s) 2024

S. Maulina et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Educational Technology and Social Science (ICoETS 2024)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 890,

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-331-3_1

2 S. Maulina et al.

5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.
6. The relevancy of references being used.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. All articles have also been checked for its similarity to make sure the originality of the articles.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	65
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	50
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	20
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	30.8%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	12

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

