

Peer-Review Statements

Tu Anh Trinh^{1,*}, Ducksu Seo², Truong Thinh Nguyen³

¹ Institute of Smart City and Management, University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

² Handong Global University, South Korea

³ Institute of Intelligence & Interactive Technologies, University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

*Editor-in-Chief of the RTD 2024. Email: trinhtuanh@ueh.edu.vn

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at *The 2nd International Conference:* Resilience by Technology and Design 2024 (RTD 2024) during 15-18 July 2024 in Ho Chi Minh City and Vinh Long, Vietnam. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee of RTD 2024 and invited experts in their fields and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *single-blind*. Each submission was examined by *one to three* reviewer(s) independently. The conference submission management system was EasyChair.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account potential competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following process.

• Initial Assessment: Begin by carefully reading the submission to understand the research, methodology, results, and conclusions presented.

© The Author(s) 2024

T. A. Trinh et al. (eds.), Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference - Resilience by Technology and Design (RTD 2024), Advances in Intelligent Systems Research 186,

T. A. Trinh et al.

- Evaluation Criteria: Assess the submission based on originality, significance, methodology, clarity, and relevance to the conference theme.
- Quality Assessment: Evaluate the quality of writing, organization, structure, and presentation of the submission.
- Feedback: Provide constructive feedback to help authors improve their work, including suggestions for revisions or clarifications.
- Grading scale for peer review is as follows:
 - ★ -3 (Strong Reject): The submission is significantly below the expected standard in terms of quality, originality, relevance, or clarity.
 - ★ -2 (Reject): The submission has some good elements and several weaknesses and should not be considered for acceptance.
 - ★ -1 (Weak Reject): The submission has certain merits but is not sufficient to be accepted.
 - ★ 0 (Borderline Paper): The submission meets basic requirements but lacks significant contributions or innovations.
 - ★ 1 (Weak Accept): The submission is of good quality with clear contributions and relevance to the conference theme.
 - ★ 2 (Accept): The submission is well-written, original, and makes a significant contribution to the field.
 - ★ 3 (Strong Accept): The submission is outstanding in all aspects and represents an exceptional contribution to the conference.

3. KEY METRICS

Number of articles sent for peer review 122

Number of papers included in the conference proceeding 29

Acceptance rate 62.01%

Number of reviewers 151

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

