

#### Risk Management to Identify and Analyze Risks in Bio briquette Production Operational Activities

Nispi Azzumardi<sup>1</sup>, Mosses Laksono Singgih<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Faculty of Industrial Technology and Systems Engineering (Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember)

moseslsinggih@its.ac.id

Abstract. Bio-briquettes are derived from organic materials consisting of sawdust, coconut shells, and other organic waste. Bio-briquette production is also an effort to preserve the environment so as not to use fossil fuels. The production process of bio-briquettes is inseparable from risks that can interfere with the smooth running of the production process. Therefore, the risks in the production process need to be identified involving all stages of the process in bio-briquette production. The identification process in this study uses the Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) and Risk Matrix. The approach uses the Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) method, namely severity, occurrence, and detection, and calculating the RPN value to determine the priority of errors in the production process. Then all risks are categorized according to the risk matrix into three risk levels, namely high risk, medium risk, and low risk. The use of methods of Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) and Risk Matrix is to improve safety in bio-briquette production process activities and product quality. The results of the research show that the risk identification results show that there are 79 risks in all bio-briquette operational activities. The identification results are categorized based on risk levels, namely very low-risk level, namely 1%, low, namely 32%, medium, namely 16%, high, namely 30% and 21% are classified as high risk. Then the results of the proposed type of treatment are mitigation by 60% and risk retention by 40%.

Keywords: Bio-briquettes, Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA), Production Process, Risk Matrix.

#### 1 Introduction

Bio-briquettes are solid fuels produced through the compression process of organic materials such as coconut shells, wood powder, or other biomass. Usually making biobriquettes involves compacting the materials with a machine or mold and followed by drying to reduce the water content and increase the compaction ability. Bio-briquettes are used as an alternative fuel that is environmentally friendly and efficient compared to fossil fuels, bio-briquettes also include organic materials or compressed biomass.

The bio-briquettes are produced by one of the charcoal bio-briquette company companies with the main raw materials being coconut shell and wood waste. The production process goes through various preparations starting from raw material

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2024

T. Amrillah et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Technology and Multidiscipline (ICATAM 2024)*, Advances in Engineering Research 245, https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-566-9 19

preparation to the marketing process. Of course, various stages of the production process did not go well, work accidents occurred during the process. Events that occur in the production process are of course referred to as risks. These risks must be controlled so that the bio-briquette production process is safe and complies with work safety procedures. The charcoal bio-briquette company has not yet conducted a risk study on the bio-briquette production process, if this risk is not carried out further, it is feared that it will have an increasingly bad effect, especially for working operators.

Risk is something that cannot be avoided and can never be separated from humans, so risk can be defined as an adverse event. The risks that arise in the bio-briquette production process cover the entire production process, each activity causes risks that need to be properly identified [2]

## 2 Literature Review

#### 2.1 Risk Management

*Risk Management* is an important and increasingly important general element in the functioning of a company because it is related to various activities. This ensures increased safety, the ability to predict the consequences of threats, and appropriate decision-making and strategic planning. In addition, *risk management* helps identify all areas of an organization's activities, monitor dangers, and prepare for dangerous crises that occur within the company. *Risk Management* identifies company weaknesses and strengths and enables continuous improvement. The *risk management* process consists of several stages, namely activity definition, risk identification, analysis, assessment, and response as well as ongoing monitoring and communication [3]

### 2.2 Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)

*Failure Mode and Effects Analysis* (FMEA) is a systematic method for identifying and resolving product and process problems. FMEA focuses on reducing errors, improving quality, and increasing customer satisfaction. FMEA is most effective when performed during the design or product development stages, but applying FMEA to existing products and processes can also provide significant benefits. FMEA aims to determine the possibility of process or product failure. Product failure occurs when the product does not function as intended or does not function properly in some way. Even the simplest products have a high probability of failure. Evaluating the risk of failure is determined by three factors, namely:

*Severity*: the consequences of failure if the risk occurs *Occurrence*: the occurrence or probability of the frequency of failure *Detection*: the probability of failure being detected

#### 2.3 Risk Matrix

*Risk Matrix* is *a tool* used and determined in analyzing risk. The application of *the Risk Matrix* is to pay attention to and assess every event that is included in the risk, then *the* 

*Risk Matrix* allows the risk to be identified through the process of assessing *the likelihood* and *consequence*, this is the axis in *the Risk Matrix*. *In its assessment, the Risk Matrix* provides colors including low (green), medium (yellow), and high (red) risk levels [5].

## 3 Method

The direct data collection stage includes interviews, namely the method used to obtain data by asking questions directly to relevant experts to obtain information such as a general description of the bio-briquette company, input and output flows in the bio-briquette production process, and other information as a reference in this research. Observational data collection is a method of obtaining data by conducting direct observations of the company such as material flow data, production information flow, and *defective products*, and *brainstorming* to collect ideas obtained from solutions to problems in the bio-briquette production process.

The data processing stage uses the *Failure Mode and Effects Analysis* (FMEA) method and the formula for calculating *Failure Mode and Effects Analysis* (FMEA), namely:

Risk priority number = severity x occurrence x detection

The steps in the problem-solving process using the FMEA method are:

- 1. Determining process or product: determining the process in this research is the entire bio-briquette production process
- 2. *brainstorming* step to determine what potential dangers affect the entire biobriquette production process.
- 3. Make a list of potential impacts for each failure mode: the next step is to include all information related to any risks that cause production process failure.
- 4. Determine the intensity of severity of each effect: create a *severity assessment scale* by estimating how serious the effect of failure occurs in the bio-bracket production process.
- 5. Determine the occurrence rating for each failure mode: create an *occurrence assessment scale* by estimating how serious the effects of failure are in the biobriquette production process.
- 6. Determine the detection level for each mode and/or effect: create a *detection assessment scale* by estimating how serious the effects of failures that occur in the bio-briquette production process are.
- 7. Calculating the risk priority number for each event: the next step is after identifying the risk and determining the assessment of *severity, occurrence,* and *detection*, the next step is calculating the RPN (*Risk Priority Number*)
- 8. Prioritizing problem-solving modes for solutions: the next stage is prioritizing the highest to low risks, risks that require more attention
- 9. Implement steps to prevent or reduce high-risk behavior.
- 10. Calculates the resulting RPN when failure modes are reduced or eliminated.

The steps in the problem-solving process using the risk matrix method are:

1. Identify using Occurrence and Severity tables in each bio-briquette production process.

296 N. Azzumardi and M. L. Singgih

- 2. Determine assessments based on high risk, low risk, and medium risk for each severity and occurrence assessment.
- 3. Record the results according to the colors on the risk matrix

The next step is to make recommendations for improvements using risk management, including mitigating risk, avoiding risk, transferring risk, and retaining risk.

## 4 **Result**

#### 4.1 **Bio-briquette Operational Activities**

Bio-briquette operational activities start from the preparation of raw materials to finished products. This series of activities consists of preparing raw materials from wood and coconut shells to become bio-briquettes. Table 1 is as follows:

N o	Activity								
1	Raw Material Collection								
2	Raw Material Storage								
3	Raw Material Drying								
4	Wood Cutting								
5	Writing Process Stages								
6	Enumeration Process								
7	Water Heating								
8	Mixing Raw Materials with Adhesive								
9	The process of inserting raw materials into a mold								
10	First Pressing Process								
11	Second Pressing Process								
12	Taking Finished Products From Machines								
13	Drying Process								
14	Packaging								
15	Marketing								
16	Delivery of finished products								

Table 1. Bio-briquette operational activities

	Table 2. Severity								
Ranking	Severity	Description							
10	Very dangerous	It has very dangerous system failure effects							
9	Dangerous with warning	Potentially harmful effects with warning							
8	Very high	Not operating							
7	Tall	The high impact seriously affects the performance							
6	Currently	The effects were severe requiring major repairs							
5	Low	The impact is affecting performance and quality							
4	Very low	The effect decreases gradually							
3	Small	Having small effects requires some improvements							
2	Very small	It has mild effects and can be repaired easily							
1	No effect	Has no effect							

## 4.2 Severity, occurrence, detection rating scale

# Table 3. Occurrence

Ranking	Occurrence	Description				
10	Marrish	Chances of failure/accidents often fail				
9	very nign	Chances of failure/accidents often fail.				
8	T-11	Chances of repeated failures/accidents				
7	1 811	Chances of repeated failures/accidents				
6	Commenting	The chances of failure/accidents are rare				
5	Currentiy	The chances of failure/accidents are rare.				
4	California (California)	The chance of failure/accident is small				
3	Seldom	The chance of failure/accident is small.				
2	No offect	No effect				
1	ino effect	No effect				

Ranking	Detection	Description
10	Almost impossible	Unable to detect failure
9	Very difficult	It may be very difficult to detect failure
8	Difficult	It may be difficult to detect failure
7	Very high	Very high probability of detecting failure
6	Tall	High probability of detecting failure
5	Currently	Possibility of detecting a failure
4	Low	Low probability of detecting failure
3	Very low	Very low probability of detecting failure
2	Easy to detect	Possible easy to detect failure
1	Very easy to detect	The possibility of failure is very easy to detect

## 4.3 **Risk Priority Number Calculation Results**

Table 5	. Risk	Priority	Number
---------	--------	----------	--------

Risk Source	Code	Mark	Code	Mark	Code	Mark	RPN value
Raw materials are	S1	7	01	7	D1	5	245
not available	S2	6	02	7	D2	1	42
Supplier commits fraud against the company	S3	3	O3	5	D3	5	75
The quality of raw	S4	4	04	7	D4	8	224
materials does not meet specifications	S5	4	05	3	D5	3	36
During the journey,	S6	2	06	5	D6	1	10
raw materials fell	<b>S</b> 7	3	07	5	D7	2	30
from the vehicle	S8	2	08	5	D8	2	20
The raw material owner did not respond	S9	7	09	9	D9	2	126
Transport agaidant	S10	5	O10	5	D10	2	50
Transport accident	S11	5	011	5	D11	2	50
Collection fees are	S12	7	012	5	D12	5	175
too expensive	S13	3	013	6	D13	1	18
The car tire is punctured	S14	3	O14	5	D14	2	30
Car broke down	S15	4	015	5	D15	2	40
Cai broke down	S16	5	016	4	D16	3	60

Delay in picking up	S17	4	O17	7	D17	2	56
raw materials	S18	7	O18	5	D18	2	70
Risk of the	S19	6	019	5	D19	3	90
material getting wet	S20	6	O20	7	D20	3	126
Raw materials must be shrunk first (raw materials from wood)	S21	2	O21	5	D21	3	30
The quality of raw materials is not good	S22	4	O22	5	D22	1	20
During is not	S23	3	O23	3	D23	2	18
optimal	S24	4	O24	3	D24	2	24
optillar	S25	5	O25	3	D25	5	75
Requires quite a long time to dry	S26	5	O26	5	D26	6	150
Operator accident	S27	5	O27	7	D27	2	70
Operator accident	S28	7	O28	7	D28	3	147
Machina failura	S29	5	O29	9	D29	5	225
	S30	6	O30	5	D30	2	60
Respiratory problems due to dust released during the cutting process	S31	6	O31	5	D31	2	60
	S32	8	O32	7	D32	8	448
Risk of fire	S33	7	O33	7	D33	3	147
There is no monitoring process	S34	6	O34	3	D34	2	36
A '	S35	5	O35	7	D35	2	70
Air pollution	S36	5	O36	5	D36	3	75
Onereter essident	S37	5	O37	7	D37	3	105
Operator accident	S38	7	O38	7	D38	3	147
Breathing is	S39	4	O39	7	D39	2	56
disturbed by smoke	S40	4	O40	5	D40	2	40
The results of the writing process are too mature	S41	7	O41	3	D41	7	147
The results of the	S42	5	O42	4	D42	4	80
writing process are less mature	S43	4	O43	3	D43	1	12
Hands will peel	S44	4	O44	5	D44	3	60
due to handling raw materials that are still hot	S45	5	O45	7	D45	3	105
The writing	S46	4	O46	7	D46	4	112
process takes a long time	S47	2	O47	5	D47	2	20
	S48	4	O48	5	D48	2	40

299

Operator Accidents (caused by machines such as hand injuries)	S49	5	O49	7	D49	3	105
Noise due to the	S50	5	O50	3	D50	2	30
sound of machines during the chopping process	S51	7	051	3	D51	2	42
Shredding	S52	2	O52	3	D52	2	12
machines emit dust (from materials and machine fumes)	853	5	053	2	D53	2	20
There is no check	S54	4	O54	9	D54	3	108
on the condition of the machine	S55	6	O55	5	D55	4	120
Machine or tool	S56	7	O56	5	D56	3	105
damage	S57	6	O57	9	D57	2	108
Inappropriate	S58	4	O58	3	D58	7	84
results (too smooth or too rough)	S59	3	O59	3	D59	3	27
Environmental	S60	3	O60	7	D60	2	42
pollution due to dust	S61	4	O61	3	D61	5	60
Unavailability of	S62	3	O62	7	D62	3	63
fuel	S63	5	O63	3	D63	3	45
Waste of fuel	S64	3	O64	5	D64	3	45
Fire risk	S65	6	065	5	D65	2	60
Hands peel from	S66	3	O66	5	D66	3	45
holding hot water	S67	5	O67	4	D67	3	60
Not evenly	S68	5	O68	5	D68	2	50
distributed in the mixing process	S69	2	O69	3	D69	2	12
The entry of other	S70	3	O70	3	D70	3	27
particles such as soil or dirt into the mixture	S71	4	071	5	D71	3	60
The dough is too	S72	5	O72	5	D72	2	50
runny	S73	3	O73	4	D73	3	36
The dosage does not comply with existing regulations	S74	5	074	3	D74	2	30
Container not cleaned	S75	3	075	5	D75	3	45
The operator's hand may be pinched if he is not careful with the machine	S76	7	O76	7	D76	6	294
Machine failure	S77	5	O77	9	D77	3	135

	S78	6	O78	7	D78	2	84
Less pressure when inserting raw materials into the machine	S79	5	O79	5	D79	3	75
Environmental pollution due to waste	S80	7	O80	3	D80	7	147
A lot of raw	S81	6	O81	7	D81	3	126
materials are wasted	S82	4	082	5	D82	3	60
There is trash or	S83	3	O83	3	D83	3	27
other particles in the raw material	S84	4	084	3	D84	5	60
Risk of operator	S85	7	O85	7	D85	6	294
accident	S86	4	O86	7	D86	6	168
Stieley Dressing	S87	4	087	5	D87	3	60
Sucky Pressing	S88	6	O88	3	D88	6	108
Noise from the machine	S89	5	O89	5	D89	2	50
Risk of hand	S90	5	O90	7	D90	2	70
entrapment	S91	4	O91	7	D91	5	140
The risk of engine damage is slight	S92	5	O92	5	D92	2	50
Risk of serious	S93	7	O93	9	D93	3	189
machine damage	S94	8	094	7	D94	3	168
There is no check on the condition of the machine	S95	5	O95	7	D95	4	140
The machine is not turned off	S96	6	O96	7	D96	2	84
Unbalanced	S97	3	O97	3	D97	4	36
emphasis in the pressing process	S98	4	O98	3	D98	3	36
Risk of operator	S99	7	O99	7	D99	2	98
accident	S100	4	O100	7	D100	5	140
The product does not come out of the mold	S101	7	O101	5	D101	3	105
Noise with sound from the machine	S102	5	O102	5	D102	2	50
Risk of hand entrapment	S103	5	O103	5	D103	2	50
The risk of engine damage is slight	S104	7	O104	7	D104	3	147
Risk of serious	S105	8	O105	9	D105	4	288
machine damage	S106	5	O106	5	D106	3	75

There is no check on the condition of the machine	S107	6	O107	7	D107	4	168
The machine is not turned off	S108	3	O108	7	D108	3	63
Unbalanced	S109	4	O109	3	D109	5	60
emphasis in the pressing process	S110	3	O110	4	D110	5	60
Risk of overheating	S111	6	0111	3	D111	2	36
hands	S112	5	O112	7	D112	2	70
Risk of hand	S113	5	O113	7	D113	2	70
entrapment	S114	6	O114	7	D114	5	210
Drying is not optimal	S115	4	0115	7	D115	4	112
Risk of hand	S116	3	0116	5	D116	2	30
entrapment	S117	4	0117	5	D117	5	100
Humidity of the finished product storage area	S118	7	O118	9	D118	2	126
The product doesn't	S119	4	0119	7	D119	3	84
sell	S120	2	O120	7	D120	4	56
Too much product	S121	7	0121	7	D121	3	147
buildup	S122	6	O122	5	D122	4	120
	S123	7	O123	5	D123	2	70
Product returns	S124	3	0124	7	D124	3	63
	S125	6	O125	5	D125	4	120
Packaging is not	S126	2	O126	3	D126	4	24
attractive	S127	2	O127	5	D127	6	60
There is no bookkeeping	S128	3	O128	5	D128	4	60
Too high shipping costs	S129	6	O129	7	D129	5	210
	S130	5	O130	7	D130	2	70
	S131	7	0131	4	D131	3	84
Total		631		713		418	11470

302 N. Azzumardi and M. L. Singgih

#### 4.4 **Risk Level Results**

Risk analysis consists of identifying each risk activity, and then identifying risk assessments based on a predetermined frequency. It has been found through risk identification that there are 79 risks in different activities in bio-briquette operations.



Fig. 1. Risk level category result

**Fig. 1.** The category results for this risk level appear to show that the risk level is *very low*, namely 1%, *low*, namely 32%, *medium*, namely 16%, *high*, namely 30%, and *very high*, namely 21%. It can be seen that many risks still fall into the *medium* and *high-risk level categories*, which means that if these risks are ignored, they will have an impact on bio-briquette operational activities as a whole.



Fig. 2. Steps for handling

**Fig. 2.** These treatment steps can be seen that the *mitigation type of treatment* provides the largest percentage, namely 60%, while the handling of *retaining* is only 40%. In *the mitigation* type of treatment, some of these risks can still be handled by the owner of the bio-briquette. *Mitigation* means reducing the impact of the frequency of risks that arise, while the *retaining type of treatment* is a type of treatment where the frequency of occurrence is small so that no handling steps are needed.

## 5 Conclusion

Risk identification in bio-briquette operational activities is carried out by direct observation and interviews with operators. These activities start from taking raw materials to delivering finished products. Every production of bio-briquettes has a risk of events that cause failure. After carrying out the identification, the risks to biobriquette production were determined as many as 79 types of risks with different biobriquette operational activities. The approach used in analyzing risk is Failure Mode And Effect Analysis (FMEA). The risks identified are then carried out to continue identifying the factors causing the risks contained in bio-briquette operational activities. 304 N. Azzumardi and M. L. Singgih

The causal factors (severity) for each risk are 131 and the causal factors are found in different risks for bio-briquette operational activities.

Acknowledgments. The researcher would like to thank the supervisor, Prof. Moses Laksono Singgih, MSc, PhD who has guided the thesis preparation process. Researchers also thank the owners of the bio-briquette companies who have supported and assisted in providing data and information for research. Thank you to all parties in the Department of Systems and Industrial Engineering who have provided their knowledge so that researchers can complete this research appropriately. Finally, I would like to thank the ICATAM 2024 committee for allowing me to take part in this activity.

## References

- 1. Ossei-Bremang, RN, E. Akyereko-Adjei, and F. Kemausuor, *Multivariate decisions: Modeling waste-based charcoal briquette formulation process.* Bioresource Technology Reports, 2023: p. 101483.
- 2. Arifudin, O., U. Wahrudin, and FD Rusmana, *RISK MANAGEMENT*. 2020: Widina Publishers.
- Wojtyto, D., *Risk assessment for the production process*. Science. Business. Society., 2018.
  3 (1): p. 18-21.
- 4. Mikulak, R.J., *The Basics of FMEA*. 2017: Taylor & Francis.
- 5. Olson, DL and DD Wu, *Enterprise Risk Management (2nd Edition)*. 2015: World Scientific Publishing Company.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

