



Research on the Influencing Factors and Improvement Strategies of Employee Silence Behavior

Wenyi Cao* and Lu Chen

University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Sichuan 611731, China
*caowenyicici@163.com

Abstract. Employee silence behavior refers to the act of employees choosing to remain silent at work for various reasons, not expressing their opinions, suggestions, or concerns. This behavior is widespread within many organizations and has a detrimental effect on corporate innovation, internal communication, and overall organizational performance. This study aims to investigate the primary factors influencing employee silence behavior and propose appropriate strategies. Through an extensive literature review, this study identifies employee personality traits, leadership style, and organizational environment as key factors significantly influencing employee silence. Based on these findings, this study suggests several improvement strategies, such as providing comprehensive training programs and psychological support for employees, improving leadership behavior through developmental initiatives, and optimizing the organizational culture to effectively reduce employee silence behavior and enhance overall organizational performance. By addressing these critical factors and implementing the proposed strategies, organizations can foster a more open and communicative environment, thereby reducing employee silence behavior.

Keywords: Employee Silence Behavior, Improvement Strategies, Employee Behavior.

1 Introduction

Employee silence behavior is defined as the deliberate withholding of ideas, information, opinions, or concerns by employees about potential issues related to work and organizational improvement when confronted with organizational problems or decisions [1]. This behavior is widespread in many organizations and exerts a substantial negative impact on organizational change and innovation performance, as well as on employees' work attitudes, task performance, and organizational citizenship behaviors [2-4]. Given the increasing demands for innovation and efficiency in modern enterprises, addressing employee silence and fostering active communication have become pivotal issues for business managers and the academic community [3]. The purpose of this study is to analyze the factors influencing employee silence behavior and explore effective strategies. Through a literature review, we examine the main factors influencing this behavior. Additionally, we propose a series of practical management strategies

to help organizations reduce employee silence behavior and enhance organizational efficacy.

2 Method

This study utilized a systematic literature review to investigate factors influencing employee silence. Searches were conducted on electronic databases including Web of Science, using predefined criteria. The search criteria included keywords such as “employee silence,” and “silence behavior,” combined with terms related to personality traits, leadership styles, and organizational environments. Following rigorous screening and selection, 17 articles were analyzed to identify key themes and insights relevant to our research questions. This approach ensured a comprehensive synthesis of existing knowledge, establishing a solid basis for proposing effective strategies to reduce employee silence in organizations.

3 Influencing Factors of Employee Silence Behavior

Employee silence behavior is a complex phenomenon influenced by various factors. Understanding these influencing factors is crucial for creating strategies to encourage open communication and reduce the adverse effects of silence. This section will explore the primary categories of factors that contribute to employee silence, starting with individual factors, followed by leadership factors, and concluding with organizational factors.

3.1 Individual Factors

Individual traits such as employees’ levels of self-esteem and locus of control significantly influence their silence behavior [5]. Lower levels of self-esteem are associated with more frequent silence behavior. Similarly, individuals with an external locus of control often question the effectiveness of their efforts and are more likely to remain silent. Moreover, employee silence behavior is shaped by various emotional and psychological factors. On the emotional level, studies have shown that employees experiencing emotional exhaustion possess diminished resources and may refrain from sharing their views on organizational issues with colleagues or supervisors to conserve energy [6]. On the psychological level, a sense of power, a felt obligation toward the organization, and job insecurity are crucial factors impacting employee silence behavior [3, 7, 8]. Employees with a low sense of power often feel they lack voice and influence within the organization and are thus more prone to silence. These employees may also believe that their opinions are undervalued or fear that expressing concerns could lead to adverse consequences. Conversely, a strong sense of obligation towards the organization typically reduces the tendency towards silence. However, increased job insecurity leads to greater ego depletion, compelling employees to remain silent to avoid further stress and risks.

3.2 Leadership Factors

From the perspective of leaders, research primarily explores the influence of various leadership styles and characteristics on employee silence behavior. Positive leadership styles such as authentic leadership [9], empowering leadership [10], and benevolent leadership [11] generally enhance relationships between leaders and employees, thereby mitigating employee silence. Conversely, negative leadership styles can exacerbate silence. For example, under abusive supervision [12], exploitative leadership [2], and authoritarian leadership [13], employees often remain silent to protect their personal interests. Furthermore, specific leadership characteristics significantly impact employee silence behavior. Research has shown that leaders' aggressive humor diminishes employees' psychological safety, thus fostering silence [14]. Similarly, when leaders display narcissistic traits, it erodes employees' trust, compelling them to remain silent to avoid potential negative consequences [15].

3.3 Organizational Factors

Research consistently shows that organizational contextual factors significantly influence employee silence. Factors related to colleagues, such as unethical behaviors and workplace bullying, are proven to affect employee silence. For instance, unethical behaviors among peers can elicit negative emotions that promote silence [16]. Similarly, workplace bullying can foster silence through violations of the psychological contract [17]. Additionally, studies indicate that practices like corporate hypocrisy critically influence whether employees share or withhold valuable information [18]. Moreover, perceived organizational politics often lead to job frustration, making employees more passive and likely to withhold participation in organizational activities [4].

4 Improvement Strategies for Employee Silence Behavior

Addressing employee silence behavior requires a comprehensive approach that targets various levels within the organization. This section outlines strategies to reduce employee silence, starting with individual-level improvements, then focusing on leadership strategies, and finally addressing organizational-level interventions.

4.1 Employee Individual Improvement Strategies

To reduce employee silence, enterprises can manage emotional states by offering psychological counseling, structuring workloads reasonably, and extending rest periods [17]. These measures help employees relieve stress and restore energy, thereby minimizing silence due to negative emotions. Furthermore, enterprises should enhance perceptions of empowerment and responsibility among employees. This can be achieved by granting more decision-making authority, fostering participation, and cultivating a mission-oriented mindset [7]. Such an approach enables employees to feel their contributions significantly impact the organization. Additionally, enterprises should foster a

supportive and psychologically safe workplace, which reduces silence behaviors stemming from feelings of uncertainty and insecurity [12].

4.2 Leadership Improvement Strategies

Leaders play a crucial role in organizations by directly influencing employees' behavioral patterns through their behavior and attitudes. Leaders should lead by example, encouraging open expression of views and prompting employees to voice their opinions and suggestions [13]. By actively demonstrating these behaviors, leaders can effectively reduce employee silence. Leaders should also provide personalized guidance and care to build trust with employees [11]. Engaging in open and transparent communication, respecting employee opinions, and addressing their needs are crucial for fostering an environment where employees are willing to express their thoughts. Additionally, providing timely positive feedback and recognition boosts employees' confidence and motivation, encouraging them to continue sharing their views [10].

4.3 Organizational Improvement Strategies

A culture of openness and trust within an organization significantly reduces employee apprehension in expressing opinions. Organizations should establish diverse communication channels, including regular employee forums, anonymous suggestion boxes, and online feedback systems, to facilitate easy expression of views by employees [15]. In addition, managers should mitigate power struggles and foster a harmonious work environment by clearly delineating roles and implementing fair promotion practices [4]. Furthermore, it is crucial for organizations to ensure fairness in allocating resources, evaluating performance, and providing promotion opportunities [19]. When employees perceive fairness in treatment, they are more likely to actively express their opinions and engage in the organizational decision-making process.

5 Discussion

This section explores the implications of our study's findings on employee silence behavior, discussing both theoretical contributions and practical implications. It aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of strategies for organizations to manage and reduce employee silence.

5.1 Theoretical Implications

Our study enriches the literature on employee silence behavior through the identification and analysis of critical factors including employee personality traits, leadership styles, and the organizational environment. By integrating these factors into a cohesive framework, our research presents a holistic perspective on this phenomenon, providing a basis for future studies to further explore these relationships. Moreover, our findings highlight the significance of examining influences at both micro (individual) and macro

(organizational) levels, advocating for a comprehensive approach to studying employee silence.

5.2 Practical Implications

The practical implications of our study are notable for organizational leaders and human resource professionals. Implementing strategies such as comprehensive training programs and psychological support for employees can enhance communication and reduce silence behavior. Initiatives focused on developing leadership behaviors can cultivate a more supportive leadership style, thereby prompting employees to express their concerns and suggestions. Furthermore, optimizing organizational culture to foster inclusivity and communication can effectively reduce the adverse effects of employee silence. These actions not only enhance internal communication but also bolster overall organizational performance and innovation.

6 Conclusion

This study explores the factors influencing employee silence at the individual, leadership, and organizational levels, proposing targeted improvement strategies. At the individual level, we recommend training and psychological support to help employees manage their emotions and reduce silence stemming from negative feelings. Regarding leadership factors, leaders should set an example to foster an open and trusting communication atmosphere. At the organizational level, companies should ensure a stable work environment to alleviate employee anxiety and insecurity. A fair and transparent feedback mechanism is essential to ensure that employees feel their voices are heard and valued. By implementing these strategies, companies can effectively diminish employee silence and foster a healthier, more sustainable organizational environment.

Fund Project. We acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 72072019).

References

1. Tangirala, S., Ramanujam, R.: Employee silence on critical work issues: The cross level effects of procedural justice climate. *Personnel Psychology* 61(1), 37-68 (2008).
2. Wang, Z. N., Ren, S., Chadee, D., Chen, Y. H.: Employee ethical silence under exploitative leadership: The roles of work meaningfulness and moral potency. *Journal of Business Ethics* 190(1), 59-76 (2024).
3. Yan, A. M., Guo, H., Zhou, Z. Q. E., Xie, J. L., Ma, H.: How moral identity inhibits employee silence behavior: The roles of felt obligation and corporate social responsibility perception. *Journal of Business Ethics* 187(2), 405-420 (2023).
4. Saei, E., Liu, Y. M.: No news is not good news: The mediating role of job frustration in the perceptions of organizational politics and employee silence. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science* (2023).

5. Pinder, C. C., Harlos, K. P.: Employee silence: Quiescence and acquiescence as responses to perceived injustice. *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management* 20, 331-369 (2001).
6. Liu, X., Zhang, Y. C., Chen, W. S., Li, W. D., Ni, D.: Bringing contribution-receipt (im)balance to team-member exchange research: A moderated mediation model. *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 44(4), 621-642 (2023).
7. Morrison, E. W., See, K. E., Pan, C.: An approach-inhibition model of employee silence: The joint effects of personal sense of power and target openness. *Personnel Psychology* 68(3), 547-580 (2015).
8. Yu, S. X., Liu, S. S., Xu, C.: The effect of job insecurity on employee silence: Test an interactive model. *Chinese Management Studies* 17(3), 488-509 (2023).
9. Guenter, H., Schreurs, B., van Emmerik, I. J. H., Sun, S. H.: What does it take to break the silence in teams: Authentic leadership and/or proactive followership? *Applied Psychology-an International Review-Psychologie Appliquee-Revue Internationale* 66(1), 49-77 (2017).
10. Hassan, S., DeHart-Davis, L., Jiang, Z. N.: How empowering leadership reduces employee silence in public organizations. *Public Administration* 97(1), 116-131 (2019).
11. Li, X. B., Xing, L.: When does benevolent leadership inhibit silence? The joint moderating roles of perceived employee agreement and cultural value orientations. *Journal of Managerial Psychology* 36(7), 562-575 (2021).
12. Kiewitz, C., Restubog, S. L. D., Shoss, M. K., Garcia, P., Tang, R. L.: Suffering in silence: Investigating the role of fear in the relationship between abusive supervision and defensive silence. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 101(5), 731-742 (2016).
13. Guo, L., Decoster, S., Babalola, M. T., De Schutter, L., Garba, O. A., Riisla, K.: Authoritarian leadership and employee creativity: The moderating role of psychological capital and the mediating role of fear and defensive silence. *Journal of Business Research* 92, 219-230 (2018).
14. Wei, H. L., Shan, D. L., Wang, L., Zhu, S. Y.: Research on the mechanism of leader aggressive humor on employee silence: A conditional process model. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 135 (2022).
15. Hamstra, M. R. W., Schreurs, B., Jawahar, I. M., Laurijssen, L. M., Hünermund, P.: Manager narcissism and employee silence: A socio-analytic theory perspective. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology* 94(1), 29-54 (2021).
16. Sufi, A. F., Raja, U., Butt, A. N.: Impact of peer unethical behaviors on employee silence: The role of organizational identification and emotions. *Journal of Business Ethics* 190(4), 821-839 (2024).
17. Rai, A., Agarwal, U. A.: Workplace bullying and employee silence a moderated mediation model of psychological contract violation and workplace friendship. *Personnel Review* 47(1), 226-256 (2018).
18. Wang, Y. M., Xie, Y. H., Liu, M. W., Guo, Y. X., He, D. J.: Silent majority: How employees' perceptions of corporate hypocrisy are related to their silence. *Journal of Business Ethics* (2024).
19. Whiteside, D. B., Barclay, L. J.: Echoes of silence: Employee silence as a mediator between overall justice and employee outcomes. *Journal of Business Ethics* 116(2), 251-266 (2013).

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

