



Peer-Review Statements

Yohana Kusuma Dewi^{1,*}, Nurliza Nurliza², Purnamawati Purnamawati³

¹ *Food technology science, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanjungpura University, Pontianak, Indonesia*

² *Agribusiness, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanjungpura University, Pontianak, Indonesia*

³ *Department of Marine Science and Fisheries, Pontianak State Polytechnic, Pontianak, Indonesia*

*Editor-in-Chief of the IAM 2023. Email: yohana@ps-itp.untan.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *1st International Agriculture Meeting (IAM) 2023* during *September 6-8, 2023* in the *Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Tanjungpura, Pontianak, Indonesia*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *single-blind*. Each submission was examined by *at least 2* reviewer(s) independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. If necessary, reviewed papers would go to second round of review as they were required to meet the standards of international publication.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;

2 Y. K. Dewi et al.

3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. *The Scientific Committee used Turnitin for the manuscripts' plagiarism check.*

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	70
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	43
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	12
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	17,14%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	10

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

