



Peer-Review Statements

Hyeyun Ku¹, Bobur Sobirov², Ayapbergen Taubayev³, Mochammad Tanzil
Multazam^{4*}

¹National Research Council for Economics, Humanities, and Social Sciences, South Korea

²Samarkand branch of Tashkent State University of Economics, Uzbekistan

³Esil University, Kazakhstan

⁴Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the ICARSE 2023. Email: tanzilmultazam@umsida.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *ICARSE 2023* during October 25 & 26, 2023 in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The evaluations were carried out with one reviewer aware of their identity. Each application was given a thorough and impartial evaluation by a minimum of two reviewers.

PubEazy served as the submission management mechanism for the conference.

The contributions were initially evaluated to determine whether or not they met the criteria for general quality and appropriateness. After the preliminary examination, they were sent on to the next stage of evaluation, which consisted of matching the subject matter of each paper with the expertise of the reviewers, while also taking into consideration any conflicting interests. In order to be eligible for consideration for acceptance, a manuscript needed to have received positive recommendations from both of the reviewers.

The evaluators provided the authors of submissions that were not accepted with the opportunity to revise their work and resubmit it after they addressed the comments made by the reviewers. It was impossible to reconsider either the acceptance or the rejection of an updated manuscript.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to evaluate the quality of the submissions purely based on the academic merit of

the content of the papers, taking into consideration the following criteria:

1. The relevance of the article's substance to the goals of the conference and the topics that will be covered;
2. A definite and convincing demonstration of the research's originality, innovation, and relevance to the present;
3. The validity of the procedures, the analyses, and the findings;
4. Compliance with the ethical standards and codes of behavior that are applicable to the research field;
5. Clarity, coherence, and accuracy in the use of language as well as other forms of expression, including tables and figures

In addition, every article has been analyzed for instances of textual overlap in an attempt to identify any indications of plagiarism that may have been committed by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	155
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	112
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	81
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	53%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	14

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

The conference was funded by LPPM UPNYK, a research institution that has also supported or participated in some of the research submitted to the conference. All

authors and reviewers are required to disclose their funding sources, and those research works that were funded by LPPM UPNYK have been reviewed by members of the Scientific Committee with no personal interests.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

