

Peer-Review Statements

Habiddin Habiddin^{1,3*} Hadi Suwono², Nani Farida³

¹Department of Science Education, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia ² Department of Biology, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the ICoMSE 2023. Email: habiddin_wuni@um.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference on Mathematics and Science Education (ICoMSE) 2023 during 14-15 August 2023 in Malang, East Java, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently. *The conference submission management system was* Microsoft's Conference Management Toolkit (CMT). The entries were initially evaluated for overall quality and suitability. Following the first screening, the papers were subjected to peer review. This involved matching each paper's topic with the expertise of the reviewers, while also considering any potential conflicts of interest. A article would only be eligible for approval if it had received positive recommendations from both reviewers.

The authors of a work that was not accepted were provided with the chance to make revisions and submit it again, taking into account the feedback from the reviewers. The decision about the acceptance or rejection of a revised paper was definitive.]

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were directed to evaluate the quality of submissions exclusively based on the scholarly value of their material using the following criteria.

- 1. Relevance of the article's content to the focus and topics of the conference;
- 2. The research should clearly exhibit originality, freshness, and timeliness;

© The Author(s) 2024

³ Department of Chemistry, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

H Habiddin et al.

- 3. Validity of the techniques, analysis, and outcomes
- 4. Compliance with the ethical norms and regulations of conduct applicable to the research field:
- 5. Clarity, cohesiveness, and accuracy in language and other forms of communication, such as numbers and tables..

Furthermore, the publisher has meticulously examined all of the articles to identify any potential instances of plagiarism by textual comparison.

3. KEY METRICS

Number of articles sent for peer review 100

Number of accepted articles 18

Acceptance rate 18%

Number of reviewers 10

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.]

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

