How to Strengthen Family Education in School-Family Partnerships for Primary School Students in the Context of the Internet

from the Perspective of Zone of Proximal Development Theory

Huiyun Yang*

University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK

*1460373496@qq.com

Abstract. Rapid advancements in technology and the increasing prevalence of the internet among young learners have reshaped educational paradigms. The study delves into the challenges and opportunities posed by these changes, emphasizing the importance of leveraging ZPD principles to enhance family-school partnerships and optimize technology for educational purposes. The primary aim of this qualitative study is to explore the practical applications of the ZPD theory within family education. It seeks to understand how parents, teachers, and primary school students navigate collaborative learning experiences, leveraging technology within the ZPD framework. By examining the intersection of family involvement, school partnerships, and technological integration, the research aims to provide insights into optimizing educational practices in contemporary settings. Employing qualitative research methods, 15 semi-structured interviews were conducted with primary school students, parents, and teachers. Thematic analysis was employed to extract and interpret data, unveiling perspectives, challenges, and strategies pertinent to family education. The study sought to uncover how ZPD principles are perceived, applied, and leveraged within family learning contexts. The research revealed the pivotal role of collaborative learning experiences within family settings, emphasizing parental guidance and scaffolding to optimize technology use. It highlighted the challenges faced in integrating technology into educational settings and emphasized successful strategies and practical recommendations for effective implementation. The study's implications lie in enriching educational theories by applying ZPD principles to contemporary family education. It underscores the significance of collaborative learning, scaffolding, and leveraging technology within the ZPD framework, offering practical insights for educators, families, and policymakers to enhance educational practices in primary education.

Keywords: Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD); Collaborative Learning; Technology Integration; Family-School Partnerships; Primary Education)

1   Introduction

Technology has advanced exponentially in recent decades, changing many facets of human existence, including education [1]. Technology has created new tools and resources that have transformed elementary school teaching and learning. From personal computers to smartphones and tablets, digital devices have become more accessible and diverse, giving instructors and students unparalleled opportunity to interact with information [2]. These fast improvements have changed the classroom setting and pedagogy by requiring a shift in teaching tactics and paradigms [3].

The Internet-related family education problem comprises numerous key difficulties that affect primary school pupils' learning environment. Online information overload and disinformation are major issues [4]. The internet offers a wealth of knowledge on many topics. Parents and children may struggle to distinguish correct, dependable sources from erroneous or misleading content due to the abundance of information [5]. This quantity makes it hard to evaluate online information's authenticity, making it difficult for families to use the internet as an educational tool. ZPD is important in education, especially for elementary school kids in an Internet-driven learning environment. Lev Vygotsky's ZPD encourages collaborative learning and scaffolding in children's cognitive development [6]. In family education, it distinguishes between what a student may do alone and with a teacher, peer, or parent [7]. This study examines, analyzes, and recommends online school-family links for primary school students using ZPD theory. Knowing how families utilize technology to help their kids develop is vital in today's internet-heavy environment. This research on ZPD theory in school-family interactions offers a new viewpoint on primary school education.

2   Literature Review

2.1   Family-School Partnerships

Parental involvement in their child's education is essential for academic success. Multiple studies show that parental involvement improves academic performance [8]. Parents' involvement in their children's education improves intellectual, social, and emotional development. This collaboration goes beyond school limits and includes parents' and guardians' home help [9]. Engaged parents participate in parent-teacher conferences, provide homework, and communicate with teachers to create a dynamic and supportive educational environment.[10] Family engagement facilitates a consistent approach to a child's academic development between the home and the school [11]. Email, instant messaging, and other virtual platforms may keep parents informed of their children's academic achievements, forthcoming events, and extracurricular activities [12].

2.2   Impact of the Internet on Primary Education

Internet integration into basic schooling offers several benefits. First, the Internet provides pupils with an unmatched wealth of instructional resources. Online libraries,

instructional websites, and interactive multimedia augment classroom learning [13]. Additionally, digital tools and interactive platforms enhance instructional immersion. Educational games, simulations, and multimedia may engage young learners and inspire a love of learning [14]. Global connectedness enabled by the Internet has transformed basic education [15]. Students may now work with classmates, instructors, and professionals from around the world to widen their perspectives, promote cultural understanding, and promote global idea exchange [16]. Additionally, the Internet allows individualized learning. Adjustable technology and instructional applications allow students to learn at their own speed and meet varied learning requirements in one classroom [17].

2.3   Influence of the Internet Parent-Teacher Communication and Collaboration

The internet has changed the important relationship between parents and teachers by affecting collaboration and communication. Instant communication methods enabled by the internet are a positive development [18,19]. Chat apps and email allow parents and teachers to communicate quickly. Real-time communication makes both parties more responsive, making the engagement more engaging [20]. Internet platforms and portals give parents unequaled access to their children's academic performance, attendance, and homework [21]. By sharing curricular themes and learning goals, openness encourages collaboration and allows parents to participate in their child's education [22]. Benefits include internet-enabled virtual parent-teacher conferences [23,24]. Remote conferences minimize geographical and schedule constraints, allowing parents to participate more in their child's academic progress [25].

3   Methodology

This qualitative study examined complex school-family relationships using ZPD theory and technology-enhanced learning. Family education's intricacy, ambiguities, and subjective experiences were examined using qualitative methodologies. Qualitative research was better for complex, context-dependent phenomena like school-family interactions and educational theories like the ZPD.

3.1   Participants

Primary School Students
   The primary school students were selected based on specific criteria aimed at capturing diverse perspectives and experiences within the target age group. Participants were selected from various grade levels within primary education to encompass a broader range of perspectives. The criteria included students aged between 6 to 12 years old, representing different genders, ethnicities, academic abilities, and socio-economic backgrounds.

Parents and Teachers

Parents and teachers were selected based on their involvement and experience in primary education. The selection criteria for parents included those actively engaged in their child's education, including involvement in school activities, participation in parent-teacher associations, and supporting their child's learning at home. Teachers have to be primary school teachers and have family collaboration experience.

3.2   Data Collection

The study comprised semi-structured interviews with elementary school kids, parents, and instructors. This method yielded qualitative, in-depth insights into family education, ZPD theory, and primary education technology integration. Primary school semi-structured interviews were age-appropriate.

3.3   Trustworthiness and Rigor

Data integrity tests-maintained data reliability, rigor, and secrecy in this research. Data management guidelines maintained the study's legitimacy and secrecy. Semi-structured interviews with primary school kids, parents, and instructors were standardized. For dependability, all interviews used the same procedure.

4   Results

4.1   Theme 1: Family Involvement in Education

Analysis of the interviews unveiled several key themes, showcasing varying perspectives and experiences related to family engagement in the educational journey of primary school students.

From Primary School Students perspective

Learning with Family: Primary school students preferences for studying with family were shown by the survey. Parent Respondent 1 explained their family's collaborative learning environment, saying, "We study together, especially for difficult topics. These seminars deepen comprehension via discussion and learning." Respondent 2 also stressed the benefits of collaborative learning, saying, "We have weekly family study time. Learning as a family fosters a supportive environment, and my child feels more confident in academic difficulties."

Technology Use at Home: The study examined home learning technology use and kind. Respondent 4 noted the abundance of digital tools, "We use educational applications and internet materials. Interactive technologies augment traditional learning and make learning more exciting for my child." Respondent 5 also noted technology diversity, saying, "My child likes instructional websites, interactive movies, and games. This varied approach guarantees a well-rounded education."

From parents Perspective

Supporting Learning at Home: Exploring the sub-theme of "Learning with Family" within the framework of family engagement in education revealed parents' complex role in supporting learning at home as the weightage analysis shown in Table 1. Respondent 6 described their involvement: "I facilitate my child's education. This includes having regular school chats and developing a study space at home. I participate in assignments and projects that encourage holistic learning." Respondent 7 said devotion goes beyond school hours: "We support our child's education. Talks, educational programs, and home practice assist our youngster develop."

Role of Technology: Research studied technology's multifaceted function in family education. Respondent 8: "My child's education requires technology. Educational apps and the internet augment traditional learning." Respondent 9 said, "Technology helps our family study. It provides dynamic and engaging learning experiences for varied learning styles and interests, making learning more personalized and effective." Parents should promote home learning and use technology wisely to educate, as these responses show.

Table 1. Family Involvement in Education

Themes/Sub-themes	Weightage/Significance (%)
Learning with Family	30%
Technology Use at Home	20%
Engagement with Teachers	25%
Challenges and Successes	15%
Parental Involvement Impact	5%
Utilization of ZPD Theory	5%

4.2   Theme 2: School-Family Partnerships

Form Parents Perspective:

Engagement with Teachers: In the sub-theme of "Engagement with Teachers," the study examined parents' ways to enhance their child's education with instructors. Respondent 6 emphasized open communication: "I must communicate with my child's instructor. I discuss my child's academic performance and areas for growth during parent-teacher conferences and by email." Respondent 7 emphasized parent-teacher partnership: "In my child's education, teachers are partners. We can solve problems and seize opportunities for my child's academic and emotional progress by communicating well."

Challenges and Success: This study investigates parents' strategies for building school-family relationships and their challenges. Respondent 7 said, "Balancing work responsibilities with active involvement in academic activities has consistently required focus." However, I have expertise with virtual meetings and internet communication. It allows constant engagement despite time limits, ensuring my child benefits from my presence. Respondent 8 said success depends on positive relationships with instructors.

I like school events, volunteer whenever possible, and work well with instructors. This has led to a more productive and positive cooperation, boosting my child's education. Respondent 9 also advised on overcoming linguistic barriers, highlighting that our diverse community has struggled.

Form Teachers Perspective

Parental Involvement Impact: The research examined educators' views on "Parental Involvement Impact" as part of a wider investigation of School-Family Partnerships. (see Table 2) Parents' involvement in students' education was debated by educators. Respondent 15 emphasized the value of parental involvement, saying, "Family involvement is linked to students' academic success. Parental involvement in their children's education boosts academic performance and fosters a supportive learning environment. Respondent 18 stated, "Apart from academic accomplishments, parental engagement has a substantial impact on students' attitudes towards the educational journey." A school that encourages family involvement helps students succeed.

Utilization of ZPD Theory: The family involvement in education research examined how educators used ZPD theory to foster collaborative learning. Teachers discussed using the ZPD concept in elementary school curriculum. Respondent 13 emphasized determining each student's learning level. Also, "By utilizing the ZPD theory, we can customize our teaching approach to accommodate the specific requirements of each student." Understanding their expertise and talents lets us assign harder projects, accelerating development. Respondent 14 said "ZPD theory supports collaborative learning environments."

Table 2. School-Family Partnerships

Themes/Sub-themes	Weightage (%)
Collaboration with Teachers	35%
Challenges in Partnership	20%
Parental Involvement Impact	40%
Utilization of ZPD Theory	5%

4.3   Theme 3: Technology Integration in Education

Form Primary School Students Perspective

Collaborative Learning: The study examined elementary school children' views on "Collaborative Learning" with classmates or family using technology as part of Technology Integration in Education. Respondent 4 said collaborative digital technologies are beneficial, "Online project collaboration with peers has changed the game. Technology lets us collaborate, not just share ideas." Response 5 emphasized collaborative learning's inclusiveness, "Technology lowers boundaries. I can work on homework with classmates remotely. It seems like a learning community."

Perceived Benefits: Elementary school students' "Perceived Benefits" are examined in Technology Integration in Education. To better grasp their views on educational technology's benefits and viability. Respondent 2 said that "Using computers for

research speeds up the process." I learn quickly, improving my comprehension of various topics. Respondent 5 added, "Technology provides me with the convenience of having a complete library readily available." I can learn about subjects not covered in literature. Respondent 1 also said that interactive technology like apps and games makes learning more fun. Actively interacting with the text makes it more interesting than reading it.

Form Parents Perspective

Collaborative Learning: The research examined "Challenges in Technology Use" among parents as part of Technology Integration in Education. The goal was to explore parents' technology issues for their children's education. Respondent 8 raised concerns about digital distractions and the need to limit screen time. Selecting the best educational applications and distractions might be tough with so many options. Managing screen time in the classroom and other activities is a constant challenge.

Effective Use of Technology: Parental engagement and "Effective Use of Technology" are examined in the Technology Integration in Education research. To discover effective methods parents use to maximize technology's educational potential. Respondent 8: "The adoption of clear guidelines regarding the amount of time spent on screens has been shown to be advantageous." A balanced and rigorous approach to technology use is as important as limiting it and setting specific educational requirements. Response 6: "Actively connecting with my child while using technology has a big influence."

Form Teachers Perspective

Technological Challenges: Technology Integration in Education addresses "Technological Challenges" instructors face while teaching using technology. (see Table 3) Bad classroom technology is a major barrier to technological infrastructure, stated respondent 13. Unfair device and internet distribution among students hinders technology incorporation into education. Respondent 12 worried about technology: "Unpredictability worries me. Unexpected technical faults during a session hinder learning and demand immediate fix.

Impact on Learning: Technology integration and "Impact on Learning" were studied in education. Technology's influence on student learning was explored to understand instructors' opinions. Respondent 14 said technology engages pupils. Interactive presentations, digital tools, and instructional activities make learning more fun. Respondent 15 stated, "Technology enables personalized learning experiences." Studying at your own speed improves comprehension and matches different learning styles.

Table 3. Technology Integration in Education

Themes/Sub-themes	Weightage (%)
Collaborative Learning	25%
Perceived Benefits	30%
Challenges in Technology Use	15%

Effective Use of Technology	15%
Technological Challenges	10%
Impact on Learning	5%

5   Conclusion

This study illuminated current educational paradigms by examining primary school students, parents, and instructors. In primary school, family involvement is key to a healthy learning environment. Family-based cooperative education promotes family involvement in academics. These exchanges provide comfort and demonstrate that technology can be an engaging and productive home learning tool. Technology integration and competent parental help increase academic use of digital tools and educational materials. This research also showed how school-family relationships affect teens' academic success. Collaboration between educators and parents improves communication, addresses kids' learning needs, and boosts academic success. Despite time and information constraints, viable alternatives have arisen as successful methods. This research has shed light on technology, family engagement, and the ZPD theory in elementary education, but it has significant drawbacks. Given the constraints mentioned previously, future study can fill gaps in knowledge and improve our understanding of these complex systems.

References

1. HaitaoHAO; Sbeih, A.; Shibly, F.H.A. Physical Education and Its Role in Improving the Health of College Students by Active Participation and Optimization by Deep Learning. *Aggress. Violent Behav.* **2021**, 101628, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2021.101628.
2. Ginsburg, H.P.; Uscianowski, C.; Carrazza, C.; Levine, S.C. Print and Digital Picture Books in the Service of Young Children's Mathematics Learning. In *Handbook of Research on the Education of Young Children*; Taylor and Francis: Teachers College, Columbia University, United States, 2019; pp. 85–98 ISBN 9780429810244 (ISBN); 9781138336834 (ISBN).
3. Heywood, J. Empowering Professional Teaching in Engineering: Sustaining the Scholarship of Teaching. *Synth. Lect. Eng.* 2018, *12*, 1–245.
4. Hunt, E.A. Media Literacy and Digital Citizenship. In; Halpern-Felsher, B.B.T.-E. of C. and A.H. (First E., Ed.; Academic Press: Oxford, 2023; pp. 372–384 ISBN 978-0-12-818873-6.
5. Jiménez-Morales, M.; Montaña Blasco, M. Presence and Strategic Use of the Mediterranean Diet in Food Marketing: Analysis and Association of Nutritional Values and Advertising Claims from 2011 to 2020. *NFS J.* **2021**, *24*, 1–6, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nfs.2021.04.003.
6. Rezai, A.; Ashkani, P.; Ismail, S.M. Effects of Group-Dynamic Assessment and Process-Based Instruction on EFL Learners' Metacognitive Awareness and Listening Comprehension: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry. *J. Psycholinguist. Res.* **2023**, doi:10.1007/s10936-023-09934-7.
7. Heberle, A.E.; Thomann, C.R.B.; Carter, A.S. Social and Emotional Development Theories☆. In; Benson, J.B.B.T.-E. of I. and E.C.D. (Second E., Ed.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2020; pp. 173–182 ISBN 978-0-12-816511-9.

8. Morales-Alexander, Y. "School Is the Child's Second Home": Family Engagement from a Latino Sociocultural Perspective. *J. Early Child. Teach. Educ.* **2021**, *42*, 455–473, doi:10.1080/10901027.2020.1799118.

9. Yilmaz, B.; Erden, F.T. Exploring Humour within the Early Childhood Period from Children's and Teachers' Perspectives. *J. Childhood, Educ. Soc.* **2022**, *3*, 151–167, doi:10.37291/2717638X.202232168.

10. Luo, R.; Song, L. The Unique and Compensatory Effects of Home and Classroom Learning Activities on Migrant and Seasonal Head Start Children's Spanish and English Emergent Literacy Skills. *Front. Psychol.* **2022**, *13*, doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1016492.

11. Premo, E.; Pilarz, A.R.; Lin, Y.-C. Pre-Kindergarten Teachers' Family Engagement Practices and English Language Learners' Attendance and Early Learning Skills: Exploring the Role of the Linguistic Context. *Early Child. Res. Q.* **2023**, *63*, 1–14, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2022.10.005.

12. Tannert, S.; Gröschner, A. Joy of Distance Learning? How Student Self-Efficacy and Emotions Relate to Social Support and School Environment. *Eur. Educ. Res. J.* **2021**, *20*, 498–519, doi:10.1177/14749041211024784.

13. Lencastre, J.A. How to Design and Teach a Blended Course For Hard-to-Reach Adult Learners. **2022**.

14. Habibi, A.; Razak, R.A.; Yusop, F.D.; Mukminin, A. Preparing Future EFL Teachers for Effective Technology Integration: What Do Teacher Educators Say? *Asian EFL J.* **2019**, *21*, 9–30.

15. Cao, Y.; AlKubaisy, Z.M. Integration of Computer-Based Technology in Smart Environment in an EFL Structures. *Smart Struct. Syst.* **2022**, *29*, 375–387, doi:10.12989/sss.2022.29.2.375.

16. Kang-Yi, C.D.; Grinker, R.R.; Beidas, R.; Agha, A.; Russell, R.; Shah, S.B.; Shea, K.; Mandell, D.S. Influence of Community-Level Cultural Beliefs about Autism on Families' and Professionals' Care for Children. *Transcult. Psychiatry* **2018**, *55*, 623–647, doi:10.1177/1363461518779831.

17. To, V.T.; Swabey, K.; Bown, A.; Thai, B. Multiple Perspectives on the Benefits of Teaching of Vietnamese to Preschool Children in an Australian Early Childhood Context. *Int. J. Early Years Educ.* **2022**, *30*, 339–354, doi:10.1080/09669760.2020.1814220.

18. Catala, A.; Gijlers, H.; Visser, I. Guidance in Storytelling Tables Supports Emotional Development in Kindergartners. *Multimed. Tools Appl.* **2022**, doi:10.1007/s11042-022-14049-7.

19. Humphries, T.; Kushalnagar, P.; Mathur, G.; Napoli, D.J.; Rathmann, C.; Smith, S. Support for Parents of Deaf Children: Common Questions and Informed, Evidence-Based Answers. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2019**, *118*, 134–142, doi:10.1016/j.ijporl.2018.12.036.

20. Basilaia, G.; Kvavadze, D. Transition to Online Education in Schools during a SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic in Georgia. *Pedagog. Res.* **2020**, *2020*, 2468–4929, doi:10.29333/pr/7937.

21. Law, E.L.-C.; Heintz, M. Augmented Reality Applications for K-12 Education: A Systematic Review from the Usability and User Experience Perspective. *Int. J. Child-Computer Interact.* **2021**, *30*, 100321, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcci.2021.100321.

22. Christodoulakis, N.; Carulla, C. V; Adbo, K. Perezhivanie and Its Application within Early Childhood Science Education Research. *Educ. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, doi:10.3390/educsci11120813.

23. Neal, C.J.; Durning, S.J.; Dharmapurikar, R.; McDaniel, K.E.; Lad, S.P.; Haglund, M.M. From Their Eyes: What Constitutes Quality Formative Written Feedback for Neurosurgery Residents. *J. Surg. Educ.* **2023**, *80*, 323–330, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2022.10.003.

24. Maher, M.; Buxton, L. Early Childhood Education at the Cultural Interface. *Aust. J. Indig. Educ.* **2015**, *44*, 1–10, doi:10.1017/jie.2015.5.
25. West-Olatunji, C.; Behar-Horenstein, L.; Rant, J. Mediated Lesson Study, Collaborative Learning, and Cultural Competence among Early Childhood Educators. *J. Res. Child. Educ.* **2008**, *23*, 96–108, doi:10.1080/02568540809594648.