



Peer-Review Statements

Ali Wafa^{1*}, Mohammad Rondhi¹, Yuli Witono¹, Yosuhiko Mori², Shinjiro Ogita³

¹ University of Jember, Jl. Kalimantan No 37 Bumi Kampus Tegal Boto, Sumbersari, Jember, Indonesia PO.BOX 159

² Rakuno Gakuen University, 582番地 Bunkyo-daimidori-machi, Ebetsu, Hokkaido 069-0836, Japan

³ Prefectural University of Hiroshima, Hiroshima Campus 1 Chome-1-71 Ujinahigashi, Minami Ward, Hiroshima, 734-8558, Japan

*Editor-in-Chief of the [8th IC FANRes & 2nd IC SIA 2023]. Email:
ali.wafa@unej.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [8th International Conference of Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources (IC-FANRes) & 2nd International Conference of Sustainable Industrial Agriculture (IC-SIA)] during [November, 24 -25 2023] in [Jember, Indonesia]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [Scientific Committee] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *Double blind with two round of review*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was EquinOCS

All manuscript submissions were first screened for their overall quality and suitability for the intended purpose. This step ensures that only submissions meeting basic standards are considered for further review. After the initial screening, the committee were sent for peer reviewer (s) by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. For a submission to be accepted, it must receive favourable recommendations from at least two reviewers. This suggests a rigorous evaluation process where multiple experts assess the submission's quality and validity. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. After first round review and the author has submit the revision, to reducing bias and for upgrading the quality of manuscript, the committee were sent for peer reviewer once more to final review, were same reviewers on first round. For all round it has double blind.

During the submission review-result, the committee do the reminder of 3, 2, 1 day(s) before due date on each round. The reminder sent by email and phone contacted.

© The Author(s) 2024

A. Wafa et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference of Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources & the 2nd International Conference of Sustainable Industrial Agriculture (IC-FANRes-IC-SIA 2023)*, Advances in Biological Sciences Research 41, https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-451-8_1

Revised manuscript is submitted, the acceptance or rejection decision becomes final. This emphasizes the importance of addressing reviewers' comments thoroughly and effectively during the revision process.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

1. Tightening Scheduling of Submission-Review Result, either author and reviewer has reminded by committee for 3,2 and last day.
2. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
3. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
4. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
5. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
6. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

| | |
|--|--------|
| <i>Total submissions</i> | 137 |
| <i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i> | 85 |
| <i>Number of accepted articles</i> | 22 |
| <i>Acceptance rate</i> | 25.88% |
| <i>Number of reviewers</i> | 14 |

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

