

Peer-Review Statements

Thabisa Mayisela^{1,*} Liezel Cilliers²

1 University of Cape Town

² University of Fort Hare *Editor-in-Chief of the [University of Fort Hare]. Email: nmashiyi@ufh.ac.za

All the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the University of Fort Hare Annual Teaching-Learning Conference, from 13-14th September 2023 in East London. These articles have been peer reviewed by academics from the University of Fort Hare (UFH), Cape Peninsula University of Technology (CPUT) and University of Pretoria (UP) who publish on e-learning/blended learning. The articles were approved by the Editors who affirm that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by two different reviewers independently. The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise. Reviewers were sourced from several South African universities. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. Authors of a rejected submission, or a submission that needed substantial revision were given the opportunity to revise and re-submit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and re-submit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

The manuscripts that were sent out to reviewers were anonymised (given a number, and any information relating to the author/s was removed.

© The Author(s) 2024

T. Mayisela and L. Cilliers (eds.), *Proceedings of the University of Fort Hare Teaching and Learning Conference (UFHTL 2023)*, Atlantis Highlights in Social Sciences, Educationand Humanities 22,

T. Mayisela and L. Cilliers

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content using a rubric. They were also advised to recuse themselves from the review process if there were manuscripts of friends or relatives amongst those they were asked to review.

In addition, all the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content. The manuscripts were rated on a 5 point-scale (Poor-Good) based on the following criteria:

- 1.Introduction and Literature Review
- 2. Methodology

2

- 3. Conclusions
- 4. Writing Style

Recommendation

Accept	
Accept with revisions	
Reject	

GENERAL IMPRESSIONS AND FURTHER COMMENTS

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions:	20
Number of articles sent for peer review:	15
Number of accepted articles:	13
Acceptance rate:	65%
Number of reviewers:	16

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Reviewing Committee declared any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

