

Peer-Review Statements

I Putu Mahendra^{1,*}, Sarmoko Sarmoko², Indra Pardede³, Akaraphol Watcarawipas⁴, Nur Ayunie Binti Zulkepli⁵

- ¹ Department of Chemistry, Institut Teknologi Sumatera
- ² Department of Pharmacy, Institut Teknologi Sumatera
- ³ Department of Physic, Institut Teknologi Sumatera
- ⁴Department of Microbiology, Mahidol University

*Editor-in-Chief of the 1st ICHSE. Email: i.mahendra@ki.itera.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *1st ICHSE* during *12th* – *13th October 2023* in *Bandar Lampung*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Volume Editors* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *Double Blind*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was using Wordpress Plugin, WP Abstract.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;

© The Author(s) 2024

I. P. Mahendra et al. (eds.), Proceedings of Selected Papers of International Conference on Health, Science, and Environment (ICHSE 2023), Advanges in Biological Sciences Research 39, https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-431-0_1

⁵ Centre for Medical Laboratory Technology Studies, Universiti Teknologi Mara

- I.P. Mahendra et al.
 - 1. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
 - 2. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
 - 3. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
 - 4. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions 145
Number of articles sent for peer review 143
Number of accepted articles 17
Acceptance rate 11.7%
Number of reviewers 5

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

