

Peer-Review Statements

Paramita Cahyaningrum Kuswandi^{1*}, Ezi Apino¹, Syahri Ramadhan¹, Ririn Susetyaningsih¹, Siwi Widiastuti¹

¹ Graduate School, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the [ICCIE 2023]. Email: paramita@uny.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the The 6th International Conference on Current Issues in Education (ICCIE) 2023 during November 10 - 11, 2023 in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewers independently. The conference submission management system was Drupal.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

To improve the quality of reviews, reviewers were selected not only from lecturers of Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta (UNY), but also from outside UNY (for example from Malang State University, Indonesia University of Education, Airlangga University, National Research and Innovation Agency, University of Sembilanbelas November Kolaka, and Muhammadiyah Button University), and also from abroad (from the University of Nottingham).

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.
- 6. Use relevant and sufficient references.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. Plagiarism was also checked using Turnitin software. The maximum similarity limit for papers that can be declared acceptable was 20%. Papers that exceed the maximum similarity value are returned to the author for revision and resubmission.

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions 151
Number of articles sent for peer review 72
Number of accepted articles 45
Acceptance rate 62.5%
Number of reviewers 28

4. Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

