

The Effect of Principal Leadership and Satisfaction on the Teachers' Performance

Arnilayeti^{1*)}, Happy Fitria², Achmad Wahidy²

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this Education study is to evaluate the significance of the effect on teacher success in SMA Negeri 20 Palembang of the main leadership style and teacher job satisfaction. This mode of analysis is quantitative descriptive by using spss program. 58 respondents with data collection techniques in the form of a questionnaire were the sample in this study. The outcomes showed that: 1) The leadership style of the principal has a major impact on teacher performance, 2) Teacher work satisfaction has a major influence on teacher results, 3) There is a major impact on teacher success between the leadership style of the principal and teacher job satisfaction. In conclusion, principal leadership and satisfaction had an impact on the teachers' performance.

Keywords: Style of leadership, Work satisfaction, Success of teachers

1. INTRODUCTION

The progress of a nation is determined by the quality of education of the nation as stated in the National Education System Law No. 20 of 2003 Article 3 on the functions and objectives of national education, that national education serves to develop the ability and form dignified national character and civilization in order to educate the life of the nation, as well as the goal to develop the potential of learners to become human beings who believe and fear God almighty, noble character, healthy, knowledgeable, capable, creative, independent and become a democratic and responsible citizen. [1]

Generally implemented in schools, the management of education or school management is inseparable from the model or leadership style of a principal in carrying out his role as a *leader*. The leadership style will be related to the results and effectiveness in leading and running the educational process in schools because the school describes the lives of small communities. This is in line with what Sagala (2012:77) says that schools are like *small communities or mini-societies that* become a forum for student development, not just a bureaucracy full of tasks and burdens. [2] So that the headmaster who becomes a nakoda in a sailboat must be able to steer his boat to reach the runway safely. In line with the opinion of Kartono (2010:23) the leader is a leader by

initiating social behavior by organizing, directing, organizing or controlling the efforts of others or through power and position. [3] Power is the ability to direct and influence subordinates in connection with the tasks they must perform. One of the leadership models that can be used by the headmaster is the leadership model with a behavioral approach.

In addition to the headmaster's leadership pattern, other factors that influence performance include job satisfaction. Research conducted by Yasir Arafat (2016) his research revealed that 1) there is a significant influence of motivation on employee performance, 2) there is a significant influence of job satisfaction on employee performance, 3) there is a significant influence of motivation and job satisfaction together on employee performance. [4]

2. METHODS

According to [5], research method is basically a scientific way to obtain data with specific purposes and uses. [5] It is agreed with [6],[7],[8], [9] that research methods are a scientific way to obtain data for specific purposes. [6] The scientific method means that the research is based on scientific characteristics that are rational, empirical, and systematic.

¹SMA Negeri 20 Palembang

²Universitas PGRI Palembang

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: yeti.arnila@yahoo.com



From both definitions, it is seen that the research method that will be done is a quantitative research of correlational type (cause and effect). Correlational type research aims to find out the existence of cause-andeffect relationships that are interconnected and related between research variables. The study consisted of three variables in the form of two free variables and one bound variable. The free variables in this study are leadership style and job satisfaction. While variables are tied to this research in the form of teacher performance in SMA Negeri 20 Palembang. The data were analysed by using SPSS 20 software program to count the correlation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Normality Test Results

| Variable | Significance Value | Testing | Description |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------|
| Leadership Style (X ₁) | 0.147 | 0,05 | Normal |
| Job Satisfaction (X ₂) | 0.212 | 0,05 | Normal |
| Teacher Performance (Y) | 0.059 | 0,05 | Normal |

Source: SPSS Program Processed version 20.0 Year 2020

This table explains that the significance value for leadership style variables is 0.147, job satisfaction variable is 0.212, and teacher performance variable is 0.059. As it is known that if the value of significance > 0.05 then the data is distributed normally, and vice versa if the value <0.05 then the data does not distribute normally. Thus, the data variables of leadership style, job satisfaction, and teacher performance are distributed normally. This is due to the significance value for leadership style variables, job satisfaction, and teacher performance above 0.05.

Table 2 Homogeneity Test Results Test of Homogeneity of Variances

| rest of fromogeneity of variances | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----|-----|------|--|
| | Levene | df1 | df2 | Sig. | |
| | Statistics | | | | |
| Leadership | 1.132 | 1 | 114 | .290 | |
| Style | | | | | |
| Job | 2.629 | 1 | 114 | .108 | |
| Satisfaction | | | | | |

Source: SPSS Program Processed version 20.0 Year 2020

The test criteria are significance value < 0.05, then the group variant is not the same. Conversely, if the value of significance is > 0.05, then the variant of the data group is the same. From the output, it can be seen that the significance value of the leadership style variable is 0.290 > 0.05 and the value of job satisfaction significance is 0.108 > 0.05. So, it can be concluded that

both variables come from the same or homogeneous

Table 3 Double Correlation Linear Regression Test Results

| Coefficients ^a | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------|--------|------|--|
| | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | | | | |
| Model | В | Std. Error | Beta | Q | Sig. | |
| 1 (Constant) | -34,719 | 9,016 | | -3,851 | ,000 | |
| Leadership | ,395 | ,074 | ,341 | 5,333 | | |
| Satisfaction | ,993 | ,085 | ,746 | 11.660 | ,000 | |

Based on the results of multiple linear regression researched on leadership style variables (X_1) and job satisfaction (X_2) to teacher performance (Y)can be described as follows:

 $Y = -34,719 + 0.395X_1 + 0.993X_2$

Table 4. Test Result F (Simultaneous Hypothesis)

| AN | ANOVAa | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------|----|---------|---------|-------------------|--|--|
| | | Sum of | De | Mean | | a. | | |
| Mo | aei | Squares | Df | Square | F | Sig. | | |
| 1 | Regression | 708,260 | 2 | 354,130 | 101,063 | .000 ^b | | |
| | Residual | 192,723 | 55 | 3,504 | | | | |
| | Total | 900,983 | 57 | | | | | |
| а | a Dependent Variable: Performance | | | | | | | |

a. Dependent Variable: Performance

b. Predictors: (Constant), job satisfaction, leadership style

Simultaneous testing showed calculated F_{value} was 101.063 and $F_{sig\,was}\;0.000$ while the table F_{value} for dk = n - 2 - 1 = 58 - 2 - 1 = 55 was 3.15 . That is, the calculated value F (101,063) > F_{table} (3.15) and the value F_{sig} (0.000) $<\alpha$ (0.05), thus Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. It can be explained that there is an influence of leadership style and job satisfaction on the performance of teachers in SMA Negeri 20 Palembang.



Table 5. Test Result t (Partial Hypothesis)

| | Table 5: Test Result t (1 artial Hypothesis) | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--------|------|--|--|
| | | Unstandardize d Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | | | | |
| | | | Std. | | | | | |
| Model | | В | Error | Beta | Q | Sig. | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | -34,719 | 9,016 | | -3,851 | ,000 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Leadership | ,395 | .074 | ,341 | 5,333 | ,000 | | |
| | Teacher | ,993 | .085 | ,746 | 11,600 | ,000 | | |
| | Satisfaction | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| a. | a. Dependent Variable: Teacher Performance | | | | | | | |

1. The Influence of Leadership Style on Teacher Performance

Based on the table of coefficients above, obtained the value $t_{calculate}$ the leadership style variable of 5,333 with the value t_{sig} . By 0.000 and the value t_{table} dk = n – 2 = 58 – 2 = 56 is 1.672. That is, the value t calculate (3,126) > t_{table} (1,672) and the value t_{sig} (0.000) < α (0.05), thus Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. It can be explained that there is an influence of leadership style on the performance of teachers in SMA Negeri 20 Palembang. This finding is in line with [10] who found that leadership style could influence teacher performance because in leadership style, teacher learn how to lead students or people.

2. The Effect of job satisfaction on Teacher Performance

Based on Table 4.18 above, obtained t value calculates job satisfaction variable of 11,600 with $t_{\rm sig}$ value . That is, the value t calculate (3,126) > $t_{\rm table}$ (1,672) and the value $t_{\rm sig}$ (0.000) < α (0.05), thus Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. It can be explained that there is an influence of job satisfaction on the performance of teachers in SMA Negeri 20 Palembang. **Result of Leadership Style Variable Determinant**

and Job Satisfaction (X_2) on Teacher Performance (Y)

Coefficient (X_1)

| Model Summary | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | | |
| 1 | .887ª | ,786 | ,778 | 1,872 | | |
| o Duodinto | man (Comet | mt) Taaaham'a | Satisfaction Lea | danahin | | |

Table 6 describes the amount of correlation/relationship value (R) of 0.887 in the STRONG **category.** In addition, it is also explained the percentage of the influence of free variables (leadership style and job satisfaction) on bound variables (teacher performance) called coefficients of determination that are the result of the calculation of R. From *the output* obtained coefficient determination (*AdjustR Square*) of 0.778,

which contains the understanding that the influence of free variables (leadership style and job satisfaction) on bound variables (teacher performance) is 77.8.%, while the remaining 22.2% is influenced by other variables that were not studied in this study.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of data analysis, it can be concluded the following, first the leadership style has an influence on teacher performance based on the results of t test analysis. This shows that there is an influence of leadership style on the performance of teachers in SMA Negeri 20 Palembang has answered the first hypothesis. Both job satisfaction has an influence on teacher performance based on the results of t test analysis. This shows that there is an influence of work complacency on the performance of teachers in SMA Negeri 20 Palembang has answered the second hypothesis. The three leadership and job satisfaction have an influence jointly on teacher performance based on the results of t test analysis. This shows that there is an influence of leadership style and job satisfaction together on the performance of teachers in SMA Negeri 20 Palembang has answered the third hypothesis.

REFERENCES

- [1] Law No. 20 article 3 of 2003 on the National Education System.
- [2] Sagala. S. (2012). Concepts and Meanings of Learning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- [3] Kartono. (2010). Leaders and Leadership. Jakarta: PT. King Grafindo Persada Arafat,Y. 2016. Effect of Motivation and Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance (Case Study on CV. Havraco Jaya Palembang). Prosding PPS Univrsitas PGRI Palembang. Online accessed: January 10, 2021
- [5] Sugiyono. (2017). *Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D* Approach Education Research Method. Bandung: Alfabeta
- [6] Darmadi, H. (2013). *Basic Teaching Skills*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [7] Creswell, J.W. (2014). Research Design, Qualitatives, Quantitative, and Mixed. Methods Approcahes (Fourth Edition). United State of America: Sage.
- [8] Sugiyono. (2014). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif,. Kualitatif, dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [9] Ary, D., et al. (2010). Introduction to Research in Education. Canada.



[10] Alimohammadi & Neyshabor. (2013). Work motivation and organizational commitment among Iranian employees. *International Journal of Research in Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management*, 1(3), 1-12.